

ANSWER

THOMAS O. STULTZ, widower : NO. 16372 EQUITY

VS. : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

BAXTER DRONEBURG and
NEVA DRONEBURG, his wife, et al : FOR FREDERICK COUNTY

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

The Answer of Pearl Blentlinger, widow, to the Bill of Complaint filed in this cause, respectfully represents unto your Honorable Court:

FIRST: That your Respondent admits the allegations contained in the first paragraph of said Bill of Complaint except that the name of Mary Niser and her husband's name, Joseph Niser, should be Mary Hiser and Joseph Hiser.

SECOND: That your Respondent admits the allegations contained in the second, third and fourth paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint.

And answering said Bill of Complaint generally, your Respondent says that she feels that it would be to the best interest and advantage of all parties concerned that the property described in said Bill of Complaint be sold and the proceeds be divided among the parties in interest according to their respective rights. And that she further consents to the relief prayed in said Bill of Complaint.

Having fully answered all and in singular the allegations of said Bill of Complaint, your Respondent prays to be hence dismissed with her reasonable costs.

[Signature]
Solicitor for Respondent

STATE OF MARYLAND

FREDERICK COUNTY, TO-WIT:

I hereby certify that on this 16th day of April, 1948, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for Frederick County, personally appeared Pearl Blentlinger and made oath in due form of law that the matters and things stated in the foregoing Bill of Complaint are true to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

WITNESS my Hand and Notarial Seal.

Service of copy admitted this 17th day of April, 1948.

William M. Storm
Solicitor for Plaintiff

Filed April 17, 1948

[Signature]
Notary Public

