

ANSWER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR FREDERICK COUNTY, MARYLAND

NO. 16372 EQUITY

THOMAS O. STULTZ, widower

VS.

BAXTER DRONEBURG and  
NEVA DRONEBURG, his wife, et al

\*\*\*\*\*

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

The Answer of Baxter Droneburg and Neva Droneburg, his wife, to the Bill of Complaint filed against them in this cause, respectfully shows unto your Honorable Court:

FIRST: That they admit the allegations contained in the first, second, third and fourth paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint.

SECOND: And answering said Bill of Complaint generally, your Respondents say that they feel that it would be to the best interest and advantage of all parties concerned that the property described in said Bill of Complaint be sold and the proceeds be divided among the parties in interest according to their respective rights. And that they further consent to the relief prayed in said Bill of Complaint.

Having fully answered all and in singular the allegations of said Bill of Complaint, your Respondents pray to be hence dismissed with their reasonable costs.

Service of copy admitted this  
31 day of March, 1948  
William M. Storm  
Filed March 31, 1948

*W. Jerome Offutt*  
Solicitor for Respondents

~~STATE OF MARYLAND~~

~~FREDERICK COUNTY, TO-WIT:~~

~~I hereby certify that on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1948, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for Frederick County, personally appeared Baxter Droneburg and Neva Droneburg, his wife, all of whom are to me personally known to be the persons executing the foregoing Answer and acknowledge the said Answer to be their respective act and deed.~~

~~WITNESS my Hand and Notarial Seal.~~

~~\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public~~

W. JEROME OFFUTT  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
FREDERICK, MD.