

ANSWER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR FREDERICK COUNTY, MARYLAND

NO. 16372 EQUITY

THOMAS O. STULTZ, widower

VS.

BAXTER DRONEBURG and
NEVA DRONEBURG, his wife, et al

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

The Answer of George Droneburg, widower, to the Bill of Complaint filed against him in this cause, respectfully shows unto your Honorable Court:

FIRST: That he admits the allegations contained in the first, second, third and fourth paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint.

SECOND: And answering said Bill of Complaint generally, your Respondent says that he feels that it would be to the best interest and advantage of all parties concerned that the property described in said Bill of Complaint be sold and the proceeds be divided among the parties in interest according to their respective rights. And that they further consent to the relief prayed in said Bill of Complaint.

Having fully answered all and in singular the allegations of said Bill of Complaint, your Respondent prays to be hence dismissed with his reasonable costs.

Service of copy admitted this
31 day of March, 1948.
William M. Storm

William M. Storm
Solicitor for Respondent

Filed March 31, 1948

~~STATE OF MARYLAND~~

FREDERICK COUNTY, TO-WIT:

I hereby certify that on this _____ day of _____, 1948, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for Frederick County, personally appeared George Droneburg, widower, who is to me personally known to be the person executing the foregoing Answer and acknowledged the said Answer to be his act and deed.

WITNESS my Hand and Notarial Seal.

Notary Public

W. JEROME OFFUTT
ATTORNEY AT LAW
FREDERICK, MD.