

leaving surviving a son, Thomas M. Danner, who also predeceased the said Thomas L. Danner, intestate, leaving surviving a son, Thomas M. Danner, who also predeceased the said Thomas L. Danner, intestate, leaving surviving the following heirs, to-wit: A son, Thomas Danner, a daughter, Ida Danner; a son, Ray Danner; and a daughter, Catherine Danner Riggles, all of whom are adult residents of Frederick County, Maryland, and have heretofore been made parties to these proceedings, and that the parties aforesaid are grandchildren instead of children of the said George T. Danner as heretofore set forth in the aforementioned paragraph of said original Bill of Complaint; and that in addition to Addie Hunt, a daughter of the said George T. Danner, as set forth in the aforesaid Bill of Complaint, the said George T. Danner left surviving a son, Charles Danner, who predeceased the said Thomas L. Danner, intestate, leaving surviving him two daughters, to-wit: Gladys Albaugh and Myrtle Jacobs, both adult residents of Frederick County, Maryland.

WHEREOFRE, your Complainants pray that your Honorable Court will grant leave to make the said Raymond Danner, Norman Danner, Frank Danner, Inez King, Gladys Albaugh and Myrtle Jacobs parties defendant to these proceedings, and direct the issuance of process against the said persons in accordance with the rules of Court.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Amos A. Holter
Solicitor for Complainants

The foregoing Amended Bill of Complaint having been read and considered, it is thereupon this 18th day of December, 1940, by the Circuit Court for Frederick County, in Equity, and by the authority thereof, ordered that leave be and the same is hereby granted to make Raymond Danner, Norman Danner, Frank Danner, Inez King, Gladys Albaugh and Myrtle Jacobs parties defendant to these proceedings; and the Clerk of this Court is further authorized to issue Writs of Subpoena directed to the parties aforesaid, requiring them to be and appear in this Court in accordance with the rules thereof.

Arthur D. Willard
Judge of the Circuit Court for Frederick County

Filed December 18, 1940.

ANSWER OF SAMUEL K. GARBER

John H. Danner, et. al.	"	No. 14,201 Equity
vs.	"	In the Circuit Court
Frank Garber, et. al.	-----"	For Frederick County.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

The Answer of Samuel K. Garber, one of the Defendants in the above entitled cause, to the Bill of Complaint heretofore filed in said cause, respectfully shows unto your Honors:

1. That he admits the matters and things stated in said Bill of Complaint, and that he consents to the sale of the real estate and the granting of the relief as prayed by said Bill of Complaint.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Samuel K. Garber
Samuel K. Garber
Defendant

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERLAND COUNTY, TO-WIT:

I hereby certify that on this 12th day of November, 1940, before me, the subscriber, a Alderman of the State of Pennsylvania, in and for Westerland County, personally appeared Samuel K. Garber, said affiant being personally known to me to be the said Samuel K. Garber, and made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts stated in the foregoing Answer are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Given under my hand and official Seal the date above written.

Alderman

Dan E. Dunmire
Alderman

Dan E. Dunmire
Alderman

My Commission Expires Jan. 7, 1946

Filed December 18, 1940.