

## ANSWER OF HARVEY M. CAREY, DEFENDANT, TO BILL OF COMPLAINT

John H. Danner and Lucretia Danner, his wife.	"	No. 14201 Equity
	"	In the Circuit Court
vs.	"	for Frederick County, Maryland.
Frank Garber et al.	-----"	

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

The Answer of Harvey M. Carey, one of the defendants in the above-entitled case, to the Bill of Complaint heretofore filed in said case respectfully shows:

That he admits the matters and things stated in said Bill of Complaint and consents to the sale of the real estate described in said Bill of Complaint.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Walter E. Sinn  
Solicitor for Harvey M. Carey,  
defendant.

Filed September 17, 1940

## ANSWER OF WILLIAM P. BARGER

John H. Danner and Lucretia Danner, his wife	"	No. 14, 201 Equity
	"	In the Circuit Court for
vs	"	Frederick County
Frank Garber, et al	-----"	

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

The Answer of William P. Barger, one of the Defendants in the above entitled cause respectfully shows unto your Honorable Court;

FIRST: That he admits the matters and things contained in the Bill of Complaint and consents to the relief therein prayed, however reserving his right as heir to his distributive portion realized from the sale of the property therein.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Patrick M. Schnauffer  
Patrick M. Schnauffer,  
Solicitor for William P. Barger  
one of the Defendants.

Filed Sept. 18, 1940

## ANSWER OF ROY DANNER AND IDA DANNER

JOHN H. DANNER and LUCRETIA DANNER, his wife,	"	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR
	"	FREDERICK COUNTY,
vs.	"	IN EQUITY.
FRANK GARBER, et al.	-----"	No. 14,201 Equity.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

Roy Danner and Ida Danner, by their Attorney, Sherman P. Bowers, for answer to the Bill of Complaint, respectfully shows:

1. That they both and each admit the allegations contained in the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th paragraphs of the Bill of Complaint, except that in paragraph 2 (G) these respondents in reply thereto, say that they are two of the children of Thomas Matey and Mae Elizabeth Danner, his wife, the said Thomas Matey Danner being a son of the said George T. (Tobias) Danner, and having died in 1912, and the said Mae Elizabeth Danner being now a resident of Frederick City, Frederick County, Maryland, and unmarried.

These respondents further say that they consent, so far as they can do so, to the passage of a decree and the appointment of a Trustee or Trustees as prayed.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered the said Bill of Complaint, these respondents pray to be hence dismissed with their proper costs, etc.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Sherman P. Bowers  
Attorney for Respondents,  
Roy Danner and Ida Danner.

Filed September 19, 1940.