

ORDERED, This 5th day of November, 1935 by the Circuit Court for Frederick County, in Equity, that the Defendant show cause, if any he has, on or before the 15th day of November, 1935, why the writ of injunction should not be issued as prayed, in the foregoing Bill of Complaint provided a copy of this order be served upon the said defendant, Howard Hollingsworth, on or before the 7th day of November, 1935.

Arthur D. Willard  
JUDGE.

Filed November 5, 1935.

True Copy Test:

Eli G. Haugh  
Clerk.

Court's Order served on Howard Hollingsworth, and copy left with him.

Roy M. Hiltner  
Sheriff

Sheriff's fee \$.95

Filed November 6, 1935.

Answer to Bill of Complaint

Meredith E. Groshon	"	No. 13,204 Equity
	"	In the Circuit Court
vs.	"	for Frederick County,
Howard Hollingsworth	"	Maryland, Sitting as
	"	a Court of Equity.

-----

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

The Answer of Howard Hollingsworth, by Patrick M. Schnauffer, his Solicitor, to the Bill of Complaint filed in the above cause, respectfully shows unto your Honors:

FIRST. That your Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in the first paragraph of said Bill of Complaint.

SECOND. That your Respondent admits the allegations contained in the second paragraph of the Bill of Complaint.

THIRD. This Respondent, in answering the third paragraph of said Bill of Complaint, denies that the Deed therein mentioned was executed by Harriet Virginia Carey and further answering says that the interest which he has in the real estate therein mentioned vested in him by reason of a deed executed by one Leona G. Mercer, dated August 23rd, 1935, by which said deed the real estate therein mentioned vested in Harriet V. Carey and your Respondent as joint tenants.

FOURTH. That the Defendant admits the allegations contained in the fourth paragraph of the said Bill of Complaint.

FIFTH. That your Respondent admits that the relations between him and the said Harriet Virginia Carey, deceased, were very close and confidential; however, your Respondent denies that he ever dominated the will of the said Harriet Virginia Carey by threats, coercion or any other means and further states that all her acts were voluntary and independent.

SIXTH. That the Defendant denies the matters and things set forth in the sixth paragraph of said Bill of Complaint, in like manner as heretofore set forth in the third paragraph of this Answer.

SEVENTH. That the Defendant denies the allegations contained in the seventh and eighth paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint.

EIGHTH. Answering the ninth paragraph of said Bill of Complaint your Respondent admits that the said Harriet Virginia Carey was a patient in the County Home known as Montevue, in Frederick County, State of Maryland and that she had been admitted there by