

A. Taking the location of it in consideration, of course you can sell them separately, but due to the fact the locality just isn't first class by any means, very likely, as I see it, the investor will buy those properties and naturally will give more for two houses together than for one at one end and the other at the other end. So I think they can be sold to better advantage both together. That is just my opinion. Somebody else may differ.

Q. What have you to say about the upkeep of these houses?

A. Well all property will get in bad shape if you don't look after it, especially under the circumstances the properties are at the present time. No one is going to spend any money on them. The interior and exterior, including the lots in the rear, will naturally deteriorate.

Q. What have you to say about the lots on McMurray Street as to whether they can be held advantageously or not?

A. Well of course I don't know what the future has in store for us, but those lots are not very deep on McMurray Street as well as I recall. There is good frontage there but the depth isn't so much, not as much as the regular lot. So that would be a disadvantage probably in selling those lots, and I don't suppose there is any income off of them at the present time. They are growing up. There are some trees there. I think they have been ringed by the party in there, and I think they have been cut all around.

Q. Having in mind the fact that there are claims filed against the estate of Charles Poole of \$400 for funeral bill and \$104 for doctor's bill in the last illness of Mr. Poole, and the fact that the net personal property left by the estate of Mr. Poole has not been over \$50, and the further fact that Mrs. Ida Pomeroy has an additional claim filed in the Orphans Court for \$5,000, regarding which claim a compromise agreement of \$750 has been reached by the Administrator of the estate of Charles A. Poole and the Executor of the estate of Victoria L. Poole, the widow of Charles A. Poole, who survived him and died recently, the said Mrs. Pomeroy claiming against both estates, and the further fact that one-third of the net proceeds of sale of the real and personal properties of the said Charles A. Poole belongs to the estate of the said Victoria L. Poole, can you suggest any way to take care of the claims against the estate of Charles A. Poole other than by selling all of the said real estate of which the said Charles A. Poole died seized and possessed? In other words, having in mind all those claims, and the distribution of the net proceeds, can you suggest any way about selling the property separately or collectively, and at the same time take care of those claims?

A. That is rather complicated. I think the best way, in my judgment, is to get rid of it - settle up.

Q. What have you to say as to whether a sale of all the property, the lots on McMurray Street and the double house on the North side of West South Street would or would not be to the advantage of the lunatic, George W. Poole?

(The Court) Would it be to his best interest to sell this property and pay up the debts?

A. I would think so, Judge, under the circumstances.

Q. If the houses would have to be sold in order to pay the debts would it be to his best interest then to sell the lots or to hold the lots?

A. Inasmuch as there is no income off the lots and taxes on it continually, I would think it would be an advantage to sell the lots.

Q. Sell the lots at the same time the dwellings are sold?

A. Yes.

ROY M. POOLE, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: By Mr. Bowers:

Q. Mr. Poole, you testified before the Examiner in this case, did you not? A. Yes.

Q. And you know about the \$400 funeral claim, do you not?