cause, and filed therein as aforesaid to finally ratify and confirm the same, unless cause to the contrary thereof be shown before said day; provided a copy of this order be inserted in some newspaper published in Frederick County for three successive weeks prior to day.

The report states the amount of sale s to be $5297.00

Dated this 8th day of December, 1931.

Eli G. Haugh
Clerk of the Circuit Court for Frederick County.

"The News"

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

From THE NEWS-POST Frederick, Md.

Frederick, Md. Jan. 12 1932

This is to certify , That the annexed Nisi on Sales was published in The News a newspaper published in Frederick County, once a week for three successive weeks prior to the 30th day of December, 1931.

THE NEWS-POST

Per Ira V. Moore

(Filed January 12, 1932)

FINAL RATIFICATION OF SALES

John Miller and	"	No. 12194 Equity
Hattie Miller	"	In the Circuit Court for Frederick County,
	"	
vs.	"	Sitting as a Court of Equity.
	"	
Albert Lewis	"	

Ordered by the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity,and by authority thereof, this 12 day of January, in the year 1932, that the sale reported in the aforegoing Report of Sales in the above entitled cause be and the same is hereby finally ratified and confirmed, no cause to the contrary having been shown, although due notice appears to have been given as required by the preceding Order Nisi on Sales, as shown by the printers certificate filed herewith, and the case is hereby referred to the Auditor to state an account.

Arthur D. Willard
Judge of the Circuit Court for Frederick County.

(Filed January 12, 1932)

OBJECTION TO RATIFICATION OF SALE

JOHN LEWIS ET AL.	"	NO. 12194 EQUITY
VS.	"	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR FREDERICK
ALBERT LEWIS,	"	COUNTY, SITTING AS A COURT OF EQUITY.

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

Mary Lewis, widow and heir-at-law of Albert Lewis, deceased, objects to the ratification of the sale of real property reported in the above entitled cause by Samuel A. Lewis, Trustee, on December 7, 1931, for the following reasons:

FIRST: Because the sale was not properly held and that the said property was sold for less than its value.

SECOND: Because the said real property was not sold to the highest bidder at the said sale.

THIRD: Because the Trustee could have and can now get for said property a greater amount than reported to your Honorable Court.

And for such other good and sufficient reasons to be made known at the hearing on this petition.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Alton Y. Bennett
ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER.

The aforegoing exceptions coming on to be heard, testimony was taken orally before the