The International Harvester Company of America, a body corporate of the State of Wisconsin	"	No.11,262, Equity In the Circuit Court for Frederick County, In Equity.
Vs.	"	
Frances M.Collins, executrix of the last will and testament of Charles A.Collins, deceased, et al.	"	

----------0----------

The Answer of the Citizens National Bank of Frederick, Maryland, a body corporate, to the Bill of Complaint filed against it, and others, in the above entitled cause;

This respondent answering said Bill, answers and states;

1st. That it admits it holds the mortgage on the property of Charles A. Collins, deceased, alleged in said Bill.

2nd. Further answering said Bill this respondent says that the agreements entered into with Katherine C.Notnagle alleged in the Bill, were entered into for the purpose, as this respondent is informed and believes, of disposing of certain of the property of which the said Charles A. Collins died, seized and possessed, so that the proceeds of sale of the same would be available, and with the purpose and intention of applying them, together with other assets in the hands of the executrix, to the payment of the debts due by the said Charles A. Collins, and for the purpose of converting the property sold into cash as expeditiously and with as little expense as could be, so that the creditors of the said Charles A.Collins might be benefited by the avoiding of costly and expensive proceedings, whereby the respective sums of money to be received by his creditors mightbnot be lessened through the payment of costs and expenses as one of the creditors of said Charles A. Collins was and is willing to consent to said sales in the manner proposed; that so far as this respondent is advised, none of the creditors have refused assent to said sales, and this respondent says it is to the great benefit and advantage of all the creditors that said sales should be consumated, if possible, without the necessity of legal or equitable proceedings.

3rd. And this respondent further says that by a mutual agreement and arrangement in writing by and between the parties to the agreement set forth in the Bill for the sale of the real estate, the consummation of said agreement has been posponed abd by said supplemental agreement is to be consummated at any time before the First day of May, Nineteen Hundred and Twenty-six.

4th. That if, however, the sales comtemplated cannot be consummated without legal or equitable proceedings, then this respondent reserves the right to foreclose its mortgage and to apply to the Court for permission to foreclose the mortgage which it holds.

And having fully answered, this respondent prays to be hence dismissed with its reasonable costs.

John S.Newman
Parsons Newman
Sols for Respondent.

(Filed February 15,1926)

ANSWER OF CATHERINE NOTNAGLE.

The International Harvester Company, etc.	"	No. 11,262 EQUITY .
Vs.	"	In the Circuit Court for Frederick County.
Frances M.Collins, Executrix, etc.	"	

----------0----------

The Answer of Catherine C.Notnagle to the Bill of Complaint in the above entitled Cause respectfully shows;

1. Not knowing, she neither admits nor denies the First Paragraph of said Bill.

2. This Respondent admits the allegations of the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th Paragraphs of said Bill.