My reasons for saying this are,that I think that the price being obtained is a very good price for this property,and I believe it is more than the parties could expect to receive if the property were offered at public sale. The condition of the property is such that it can only be used as a dwelling house,and to convert it to business use would entail the expenditure of a considerable amount of money. I do not see how it is possible to divide this house and dwelling among the parties interested."

To the general interrogatory; "Nothing further."

James H.Cramer.

Edwin C.Markell,a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the plaintiff,having first been duly sworn by me,deposes and says;

"My name is Edwin C.Markell, I am Vice-President and Assistant Secretary of the Fredericktown Savings Institution. I have been assistant secretary for forty-four years and vice-president for three or four years. I knew J.Alleine Williamson,IV,in his lifetime. He died in September,Nineteen Hundred and Twenty-four. I understand he died without a Will. At the time of his death he owned an interest in this property located near the corner of Patrick and Court Street on the south side of Patrick Street. I now look at Exhibit "A" shown me,which I believe to be a certified copy of the deed to the property,by which the interest he had at the time of his death was conveyed to him. The deed calles for property fronting thirty-one (31) feet,more or less,on the south side of West Patrick Street,and running back a distance of three hundred and seventy (370) feet,but from my knowledge of the property I do not believe that the back line is now as much as three hundred and seventy (370) feet from Patrick Street. I believe that it is twenty-five to thirty feet short of the debth of three hundred and seventy (370) feet I am speaking of the location of the rear fence on this property,as I do not know the exact location of the rear line from any other source. The deed also conveys a right of alley way to the west of the dwelling,which has always to my knowledge been used in connection with this property. I am very familiar with this property,as I have lived in the neighborhood all my life which is sixty-three years. I knew J.Alleine Williamson,IV,in his lifetime,and I know Dr.Thomas M.Williamson. J.Alleine Williamson,IV,left him surviving as his only heirs at law,his widow, Jenny H.Williamson,and four unmarried infant children,J.Alleine Williamson,V,who,I should say is now twenty years old; Virginia H.Williamson,who,I should say,is now eighteen years old; Bernhard H.Williamson,who,I should say,is now seventeen years old; and Helen Page Williamson,who, I should say,is now fifteen years old. I understand that the widow and children inherited the share of J.Alleine Williamson at his death. I look at Exhibit "B" filed with the bill now shown me,being the contract referred to in this proceeding. I certainly think it is to the benefit of the parties interested and especially the infants,that the court should ratify the sale of this property for Twenty-five Thousand Dollars ($25,000.00). I think it an adequate price for the property. It is at present used for dwelling and apartment purposes,and the revenue from that source,I would say,would not be sufficient to justify their retention of the property when that can get a price of Twenty-five Thousand Dollars ($25.000.00) for it. To convert it for business purposes would involve the expenditure of considerable money,and it would then be a question wh t the rental value of the property might be. I think that Twenty-five Thousand Dollars ($25,000.00) is more than the parties could expect to get if the property were sold at public sale. It would be absolutely impossible to divide the property among the parties interested without loss and injury. I say this because the property consists of a dwelling house and lot which is not susceptible of division."

To the general interrogatory; "Nothing further."

Edwin C.Markell

WHEREUPON there being no other witnesses to examine and no further time being required for the production of testimony,the said examiner hereby certifies that the aforegoing are the true