

THIRD; That they deny the allegations contained in the third paragraph of the said Bill of Complaint, and demand a full investigation, so that this Court may determine the character and extent of the assets of the said Michael Hoke, deceased, and, especially, do these Respondents reserve their right to have an accounting and a discovery as against Joseph R. Hoke, who has been in possession of the property of the said Michael Hoke, deceased, and who took complete charge of all his papers and effects.

FOURTH; That, as far as these Respondents are advised, the said Michael Hoke died intestate, as alleged in the fourth paragraph of said Bill of Complaint, and, as therein also alleged, left surviving him, as his heirs at law and next of kin, who are entitled to his property and estate, the following children;

- (a) Lillie Hoke Rosensteel, the wife of William E. Rosensteel.
- (b) Harry M. Hoke, who is married, and whose wife's name is Etta May Hoke.
- (c) Fannie Hoke Fortney, the wife of John E. Fortney.
- (d) Cleveland Hoke, who is married, and whose wife's name is Agnes Hoke.
- (e) Annie Hoke Minnich (erroneously mentioned in the Bill of Complaint as Annie Munnick) the wife of Samuel R. Minnich.
- (f) Sarah Hoke Dorner (erroneously called in the Bill of Complaint Sallie Hoke Dorner), the wife of Louis F. Dorner.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered, these Respondents pray that the Court will retain jurisdiction so that a thorough inquiry may be made into the affairs of Michael Hoke, deceased, to ascertain the character and extent of his property and estate, and, all the property and assets of the said Michael Hoke, deceased, under the directions of your Honorable Court.

(Filed November 19, 1923)

Harry C. Kallen  
 Leo Weinberg  
Attorneys for Respondents.

P E T I T I O N .

Jacob L. Topper, and	"	No. 10837 <u>E Q U I T Y</u> .
Robert L. Topper, Part-	"	
ners trading as J. L.	"	In the Circuit Court for
Topper & Son.	"	Frederick County.
	"	
Vs.	"	
	"	
Lillie Hoke Rosensteel	"	In Equity.
et. al.	"	

-----0-----

To the Honorable, The Judges of said Court;

The Petition of the Central Trust Company of Maryland, a body corporate, and William Jacobs & Sons Inc. respectfully shows unto your Honors;

FIRST; That the Complainant in the above entitled matter has filed in this proceeding a bill for the sale of real estate mentioned therein as a creditor of Michael Hoke in behalf of the said creditor, and other creditors, who might come in and contribute to the costs of these proceedings.

SECOND; That your Petitioner, the Central Trust Company of Maryland, is a banking Corporation in the City of Frederick, and that there is due and payable unto the said Corporation, a note dated March 31st, 1923, in the amount of \$340.00, Three Hundred Forty Dollars, payable on demand, and signed by Michael Hoke & Company, Michael Hoke, and Joseph R. Hoke, upon which there is a balance due in the sum of Three Hundred Dollars (\$300.00) together with interest from June 5, 1923, all of which will appear by reference to the said note filed herewith, and marked "Exhibit 1@" to this Petition.

THIRD; That William Jacobs & Sons, Inc. is a Corporation having its place of business in the City of Baltimore, buying and selling oysters and other sea foods, and there is due the said Company an open account upon which there is a balance due of \$94.75 as is evidenced by the duly proven account filed herewith and marked "Exhibit 2" to this Petition.

WHEREFORE, your Petitioners pray that they may be made party complainants to these proceedings, and that they may have such further relief as the nature and equity of the case may require.

Leslie N. Coblentz  
Attorney for Petitioners.