No.10442 Equity.

To the General Interrogatory

Ans.-Nothing further

Richard W.Cromwell

Mrs.Ida Padgett,a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the Plaintiff being first duly sworn and examined viva voce deposes and says as follows;-

My name is Ida V.Padgett,In am more that 21 years of age,I reside in Adamstown,I know all the parties to this cause and the land mentioned in this proceeding which is correctly described in Exhibit No.1,I knew Mrs.Emily Cull Peugnet in her lifetime she died intestate in May 1921 leaving surviving her two infants Children Nathalie Fort Puegnet now about 14 years of age and Roger Cull Peugnet now about 10 years of age as her only heirs at law,MrsPeugnet,husband died some years before she did. Mrs.Peugnet at the time of her decease owned the real estate described in Exhibit No.1 which Tt in improved with a modern dwelling house,It will be to the benefit and advantage of the Infants that this House and lot be sold,It would be difficult to rent it for anything like an adequate rental,to keep it in repair as it will deterioate in value and the return from an investment of the money it will sell for it amount to much more than the net rental,after paying taxes and repairs,It is now unoccupied,In my opinion it is worth about $7500.00 altho it probably costs much more than that to build the house.

To the General Interrogatory

Nothing more.

Ans. Ida V.Padgett;

Mrs.Sarah Cull Hume,a witness of lawful age being first duly sworn and examined viva-voce deposes and says as follows.-

My name os Sarah Cull Hume,I am more than 21 years of age and reside in Adamstown,I am the wife of Doctor R.C.Hume and a sister of Mrs.Emily Cull Peugnet and am the Guardian of the Infants in this cause Nathalie Fort Peugnet and Roger Cull Peugnet,,my Sister died in May last (1921) leaving the said Infants as her only heirs at law her husband pre-deceased her,she died intestate,and owned at the time of her decease the dwelling house and lot of ground in Adamstown described in Exhibit No.1 the dwelling is modern and my sister resided therein,prior to her death,and it will be to the best interest and advantage of the Infants heirs to have it sold under a Decree of the Court,My reason for saying this is two fold,the property is difficult to rent for any adequate sum and will if rented or allowed to remain vacant deterorate rapidly in value and another reason is the children are old enough to know and while they were living there last summer,after their mothers death,with their Grand Mother,they were very unhappy and welcomed leaving the house,they will not want to live their again and it had better be sold,They have been since early last Fall with my mother in Baltimore and the house has been vacant,and yields no revenue and is an expense.

In my opinion this property is worth about $7500.00 it cost much more than that but that is about all it will sell for, It will be difficult to get this much for it at Public sale,it will undeubtedly sell to better advantage at private sale.

To the General Interrogatory. Nothing further.

Ans. Sarah Cull Hume.