

No, 10249, Equity,

.....
Answer of Mary E, Tritapoe,

Mary, J,R,Boyer, et al.,	(No, 10249 Equity,
vs,)	In the Circuit Court for Frederick
William E, Boyer, and Saloma	(County, In Equity,
Boyer,his wife,et al.,)	
	(

-----oO-----

The answer of Mary E, Tritapoe, to the Bill of Complaint, filed against her and Others, in the above entitled Cause,

This respondent,answering said Bill of Complaint, admits the allegations to be true and consents to the passage of a Decree, as prayed,

Mary E, Tritapoe,

ChasMcMathias,
solicitor for Respondents,

Answer of Lora E, Niekirk and Charles E, Neikirk her husband, Georgetta Coblentz and Oliver,Coblentz her husband and others,

Mary J,R,Boyer,et al.,	(No, 10249, Equity,
Vs,)	In the Circuit Court for Frederick
William E, Boyer,et al.,	(County, Sitting in Equity,
)	

-----oO-----

To the Honorable,the judges of said Court,

The defendants Lora E,Niekirk and Charles E,Niekirk,her husband, Georgetta Coblentz, and Oliver Z, Coblentz, her husband, Eldridge C, Boyer and Ellie Boyer his wife, Julia E, Maught and Roy Maught her husband, answering the Bill of Complaint, filed in the above entitled cause, say;

1-They admit the Matters and things Stated in the first paragraph, of said Bill.

2-They admit the matters and things set forth in the Second Paragraph, of said Bill, excepting the last sentence of said paragraph which these respondents deny, And Further answering said Paragraph say; that the said will of Columbus Boyer,by his directions for the sale of the property, upon the death of his widow the Testator, worked a conversion of the realty into personality, and the only person appointed administrator de boni non or appointed by a Court of Equity, in accordance with Section 94,of Article 16, Annotated Code of Public General Laws of Maryland,

3.That these respondents Neither admit nor deny the third Paragraph of said Bill of Complaint, And further answering said paragraph say, that the parties named in said paragraph do not take as heirs at law of the said Columbus Boyer, but take Specifically under said Will in the manner therein set forth,

4, That Answering the fourth paragraph of said Bill these respondents, say that they deny the allegations set forth in said Bill have any application to the case for the sale of said real Estate, that the said Allegations do not and can not confer any Jurisdiction upon your Honorable Court to sell the real estate, described in the Bill of Complaint,And these respondents deny that it would be to the benefit and advantage of all the parties Concerned, that the said property be sold forthwith,and especially under these expensive proceedings .

5.- These respondents neither admit nor deny the allegations of the Fifth Paragraph of said Bill except that they deny that it will be for the Benefit,interest and advantage of all the parties concerned that the property be sold at this time

6-- Further answering --- said Bill of Complaint, these respondents are advised and Charge that your Honorable Court is without jurisdiction to entertain said Bill of Complaint and that the filing of the same,together with the order of publication and the Exhibits has been unnecessary and an unusual expense upon these respondents,which they should not be compelled to participate in paying,