

## No. 10109, Equity,

\*\*\*\*\*

Eliza J, Rice, being the owners of the Other two interests therein, each;- and on March 25rd, 1903, he conveyed with his wife Joining him his one third interest there in to his said Brother Thomas P, Rice, by deed of that date, which is recorded in liber, D,H,H, No,17 folio 14- copy of deed filed, herein marked.

the said David S, Rice Died in 1910, without leaving a will, and left a Widow, orie B. Rice, who is yet living and four Children, Viz;-

George A Rice,  
Perry B. Rice,  
Amy A, Rice, and  
Irving L, Rice,

all of whom are now adults excepting Irving L, Rice, who is an infant, about ten years of age, and now reside with his mother, in Baltimore City, Maryland,

Then in November 1913, the said Eliza J, Rice, died without leaving a will, unmarr ied and without leaving children, the said widow Ori B, Rice is now about 56 years of age,

I understand that the said Thomas P, Rice, has received a conveyance of all the others, owners except the interest of Perry B, Rice, and of the Widow (orie B, Rice) and the infant Irving L, Rice, I understand that the said Irving L, Rice infant, owned a One thirty sixth interest, in said real estate, subject to the Dower interest of his mother, Ori B, Rice, I am informed by the Contract of salem filed in this cause, that the interest of said widow, and of the said infant has been Con tracted to be sold, to Thomas P, Rice, for the sum of \$175,00

I am of the opinion that \$3000. would be a fair valuation of the entire fee simple estate, for the whole of the Lot, and therefore think, that the price mentioned, in said Contract would be a very fair price, for said interest and that it would be to th interest and advantage of all the parties, to this cause, both the infant and the adult mother for said sale to be ratified, and confirmed, by the Court,

to the General Interrogatory by the Examiner

Answer

nothing Further,

Henry M, Gittinger,

-----  
Francis v, Staub, a witness of lawful age produced, on the part of the Plaintiff being duly sworn and examined, viva-voce, deposes and says, as follows,

my name is Francis V, staub, my age is 52 years, I reside on North Market street, nearly oposite, to the Rice property mentioned in these proceedings, I Know the parties described in the Bill in this Cause, and have Known it for about 40 years, it consists of a lot of ground, fronting on North Market Street, and an Additi onal lot in the rear 24½ Feet by 98 feet, and a Nine Foot inlet, or alley running from the North side of East 4th street, to the first mentioned lot frontong on North market street,

David S, Rice the dededent mentioned in these proceedings, was the owner of a third interest in the lot of ground, hereinbefore mentioned, his Brother Thomas P, Rice, and his Sister Eliza J, Rice, being each the owner of the other two thirds, interest, therein. and on March 25th, 1903, he conveyed with his wife, his one third interest, to his Brother Thomas P, Rice, by deed of that date, which is recorded in Liber, D,H,H, No,17- folio 14, a certified Copy of said deed being filed herewith, marked Exhibit,

the said David S, Rice, died in 1910, without leaving a will and l left as widow, Ori B, Rice, who is yet living, in Baltimore, md, and Four Children

Viz;-

Geo, A, Rice,  
Perry B, Rice, ..  
Amy A, Rice, and  
Irving L, Rice,

all of whom are now adults, excepting Irving L, Rice who is an infant, about ten ya rs of age, and resides with his mother in Baltimore, city, Maryland,

Afterwards in November 1913, the said Eliza J, Rice, died unmarried, without without a will and no children, The said Widow, Ori B, Rice, is now about 56 Years of age,

I understand that the said Thomas P, Rice, has received a conveyance of all the others, co-owners, excepting the Interest of the said Perry B, Rice, and the said Widow, Ori B, Rice, and the Infant Irving L, Rice, I understand that the said Infant Irving L, Rice, owned a 1/36 interest in said real estate, subject to the dower right of his mother the said Ori B, Rice, I am informed by the Contract of sale, filed in this case, that the interest of said Widow, and of the said infant. has been contracted to be sold, to the said Thomas P. Rice, for the same, of \$175, 00

I am of the opinion that 3000, would be a fair price, or valuation for the entire fee simple estate, in the whole of these three lots before mentioned, in said, conta ct of sale, would be a fair price, for said interests, and that it would be to the interest and advantage of the parties to this cause both the infant and adult mother Mother for said sale to be ratified and confirmed by the Court, I understand that the said infant was born after the death of his father the said David S, Rice,

To the Gen- inter-

Ans- Nothing Further

Francis V, Staub,