

among the parties according to their several interests.

That all of the parties, both plaintiffs and defendants, are adults above the age of twenty-one years. That the said Charles B. Allison and Mary Catharine, his wife, are non-residents of this State, and residents of New Bedford, Massachusetts.

It is thereupon this 20th day of December, 1916, ordered by the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity, that the plaintiffs, by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some newspaper, published in said Frederick County, once in each of four successive weeks before the 19th day of January, 1917, give notice to the said absent defendants of the object and substance of this Bill, warning them to appear in this Court in person or by solicitor, on or before the 3rd day of February next, to show cause, if any they have, why a decree ought not to be passed as prayed.

Filed Dec. 20th, 1916.

Eli G. Haugh, Clerk.

The News, Frederick, Md., Feb, 24th, 1917.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, That the annexed Order of Publication was published in "THE DAILY NEWS", a newspaper published in Frederick County, once a week four successive weeks prior to the 19th day of January, 1917.

Filed Feby. 24, 1917.

The News.
per. Will Fout.

Mary E. Miller and Charles R. %
Eyler, her husband, Marshall %
G. Harwetel and Emma J. %
Harwetel, his wife, and %
Lulu B. Harwetel, plaintiffs. %
vs. %
Ella M. Birely and William %
F. Birely, her husband, Charles %
B. Allison and Mary Catharine %
Allison, his wife, Defendants. %

No. 9556 EQUITY.
In the Circuit Court for
Frederick County,
Sitting as a Court of
Equity.

-----00-----

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT!

The answer of Ella M. Birely and William F. Birely two of the defendants in the above entitled cause, to the Bill of Complaint therein exhibited against them respectfully shows unto your Honors:-

That these respondents, Ella M. Birely and William F. Birely admit all of the allegations contained in the Bill of Complaint in the above entitled cause, and hereby consent to the passage of the decree as prayed in said Bill.

And these respondents will ever pray, etc.

D. Princeton Buckey
Solicitor for Ella M. Birely and
William F. Birely, her husband, Defen-
dants.

Filed Jan. 2nd, 1917.

GENERAL REPLICATION

Mary E. Eiler and Charles R. %
Eiler, her husband et al %
Plaintiffs. %
vs. %
Ella M. Birely and William F. %
Birely, her husband, et al. %
Defendants. %

No. 9556 EQUITY.
In the Circuit Court for
Frederick County, sitting
as a Court of Equity.

-----0-----

The plaintiffs join issue on the matters alleged in the answers of Ella M. Birely and William F. Birely, her husband, defendants, so far as the same may be atken to deny or avoid the allegations of the bill.

Filed Feb. 24th, 1917.

Edward O. Went
Solicitor for Plaintiffs.