

Gertrude E.Hickman	"	No.9162 Equity.
vs.	"	In the Circuit Court for Frederick County.
Annie Kroeger et al.	"	In Equity.

-----0-----

To the Honorable, The Judges of said Court!-

The Plaintiff, by Smith and Smith her Attorneys, objects and excepts to the admissibility of the following testimony!

1st. She objects and excepts to the 8th., 10th., 14th., 16., 17th., 18th., 19th., 22nd., 23., 24th., 25th., 27th., 29th., 30., 31st., 32nd., 33rd., 34th., 38th., 39th., 40th., 42nd., 44th., and 45th., cross interrogatories and answers of Gertrude E.Hickman because the same are irrelevant and immaterial and inadmissible and also objects and excepts to exhibit "Tiekner & Sons" being offered in evidence because the same is irrelevant and immaterial ;

2nd. She objects and excepts to the 2nd., 4th., 5th., and 6th., questions and answers of Josephine Hickman in her Examination in Chief because the same are irrelevant and immaterial and inadmissible .

3rd. She objects and excepts to the 2nd., 3rd., 4th., 5th., 8th., 9th., and 11th., questions and answers of Charles Hickman in his Examination in Chief because the same are irrelevant and immaterial;

4th. She objects and excepts to the 3rd., and 4th., questions and answers of William Hickman in his Examination in Chief because the same are irrelevant and immaterial and inadmissible.

5th. She objects and excepts to the 2nd., 4th., and 6th., questions and answers of Ida Garrick in her Examination in Chief because the same are irrelevant and immaterial and inadmissible.

6th. And she objects and excepts to all of the testimony offered by the respondents in so far as the same relates to the personal estate of the said Thomas Hickman because the same is irrelevant and immaterial and inadmissible.

Smith & Smith
Attys. for Plaintiffs.

Filed May 15th, 1915.

AMENDED BILL OF COMPLAINT.

Gertrude E.Hickman	"	No.9162 Equity.
vs.	"	In the Circuit Court for
Annie Kroeger et al.	"	Frederick County, sitting
	"	as a Court of Equity.

-----0-----

To the Honorable, the Judges of said Court!-

The amended Bill of Complaint of Gertrude E.Hickman leave to file same having been first had and obtained, respectfully shows unto your Honors;-

That on the first day of May, 1914, your Petitioner filed a Bill of Complaint in this cause, alleging that she and her husband, a certain Thomas Hickman, late of Frederick County, Maryland, deceased, did enter into a verbal agreement by virtue of which it was understood between them that they should jointly purchase the number of lots mentioned in these proceedings, and that the said Thomas Hickman should furnish \$100.00 of the purchase price and your Petitioner should furnish \$300.00 of the purchase price, which said lots of said real estate would be bought for the total amount of \$400.00. Your Petitioner in said Bill alleged that by virtue of said agreement, she was entitled to have the deed executed to her by Joseph H. Hamilton, the grantor of said real estate, and your petitioner prays that a decree might be passed by your Honorable Court directing the said Hamilton to make a deed to that effect.