The petition of the defendants in the above entitled cause respectfully shows to the Court!

That an order was passed by this court directing the Examiner to return the testimony in this case on the fifteenth of February, 1915.

That the defendants have not as yet taken the testumony and that the ends of justice and equity requires that they be permitted to take testimony in this matter.

That the reasons for the defendants not having been able to take testimony within the time specufied by the orders of this Court are the following!

1st. That at the time when the first prder requiring your petitioners to close their testimony on some or before January 20th., 1915, your petitioners solicitor, who resides in the City of Baltimore was illumed and unable to leave the City of Baltimore.

3rd. That all the witnesses for the defendants are residents of the City of Baltimore, or Baltimore County near the City of Baltimore, and your petitioners believe that all their witnesses could be examined in one day and desired to have all of them an Frederick City for the purpose of taking all of said testimony at one time.

4th. That one of the material watnesses for your petitioners has been summoned by the Circuit Course of Baltimore City and has been in daily attendance therein for a period of three or four weeks in the case of Snyder Vs. Snyder, which is a divorce case and which is also being vigorously contested.

Your petitioners therefore alleges that it was absolutely impossible for them to comply with said order and that it would be inequitable to preclude them from properly presenting their side of this case; and that they should have an oppurtunity of taking testimony.

Your petitioners therefore pray that an order may be passed referring the papers in this case back to the said Examiner for the purpose of taking further testimony.

And as in duty &co.,

Solicitor for Petitioners.

State of Maryland, City of Baltimore, to wit!
I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 1st day of March, 1915, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland in and for the City of Baltimore aforesaid, personally appeared Frank D. Noel and he made outh in due form of law that the matters and facts stated in the aforegoing petition are true.

Henry L. Drake.

Harry L. Drake.
Notary Public.

Upon the aforegoing petition and affidavit, it is ordered by the Circuit Court for Frederick County, In Equity, this 2rd, day of March, 1915, that the papers in this case be referred back to the Examiner for the purpose of taking additional testimony, provided the same be returned not later that 24th day of this present Month.

Glenn H. Worthington.

Filed March 3rd, 1915.

Not Brien.

Gertrude E. Hickman

vs.

Annie Kroeger etal.

No. 9162 Equity.in the Circuit Court for Frederick County, in Equity.

In pursuance of the order of the Court dated March 3,1913, referring the papers in the above cause back to the Examiner for the purpose of taking additional testimony, I, Clayton 0. keedy, one of the standing Examiners of said Court, having assigned Monday, the 15th day of March, at the office of Guy K. Motter, in Frederick City, Maryland, as the time and place for taking said testimony, did, in the presence of the council for the respective parties, proceed to take the following testimony, to wit:

ADDITIONAL TESTIMONY

Mrs.Jusephine Hickman, one of the Defendants, produced in her own behalf, being first duly sworn deposes and says as follows!

1. You are the mother of Thomas Hickman, late of Frederick County, deceased, I believe.

__