

use a large amount of hemlock in the studding and other partitions is it not?

Ans. It is.

RE-GROSS EXAMINATION.

1 R.X.2. In stating you estimate of the difference between pine and hemlock you did not estimate the studding to be of pine did you?

Ans. No. I did not. To the Gen. Int, by the Examiner.

Ans. That is about all I know.

Geo. W. Bitzer.

Edward Hews a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the Respondent, being duly sworn and examined deposes and says:-

1st. Int. State your name, age residence and occupation?

Ans. Edward Hews; 39 years, Frederick Md. Justice of the Peace and Atty, at Law.

2nd. Int. Were you a Justice of the Peace during the year 1892.

Ans. I was.

3 Int. Look at Exhibit O. 16, now shown you and state whether or not you have any knowledge of the property therein described and what Mr. Kamberger paid for the interest therein contained? (Ex. to)

Ans. I look at it. I know the property from my recollection this seems to be a copy of a deed at the execution of which I was present. If this is the same conveyance which I believe it to be the consideration was \$8000. which money was paid by Mr. Schloegel in my presence in the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court for Frederick County. at about 5 O'Clock in the afternoon.

4. Int. Look at paper now shown you marked Exhibit No. 16 and state if that paper was executed before you as J.P.?

Ans. I took the acknowledgement of Mr. Bretz and affidavit of Mr. Kamberger and attested Mr. Bretz's signature.

5. Int. State whether or not at the time that paper was acknowledged by Mr. Bretz, the writing under his signature and seal and the signature and seal of Otto Kamberger and which proceeds your signature of acknowledgement was there?

Ans. It was and my recollection of the matter is that Mr. Schloegel made me an explanation of the matter at the time which explanation I do not now remember.

6. Int. Look at exhibit B. and state whether or not you know anything about the execution of the original of that paper (Excepted to)

Ans. I look at it. I took Mr. Bretz's acknowledgement and Mr. Schloegel affidavit to the original.

7. Int. State whether or not at the time of the acknowledgement, the writing purporting to be signed by Otto Kamberger, proceeding your certificate, was there? (Excepted to)

Ans. I believe so, but would not say positively without seeing the original.

8. Int. Is Mr. Bretz now present at the taking of this testimony?

Ans. He is, I know him very well.

CROSS EXAMINATION.

1. X. Int. At what time in the day was the Mortgage to Kamberger executed?

Ans. That was near about 5 O'Clock in the afternoon, It was nearly dark, I think we had to have a light in the Clerk's office to complete the transaction.

2. X. Int. To complete what transaction?

Ans. There were some papers signed that I don't think I had anything to do with, and I think some money or notes passed between Mr. Schloegel, Mr. Kamberger & Mr. Bretz.

3. X. Int. Was Mr. Kamberger present when the Mortgage was executed?

Ans. That is my recollection of it.

4. X. Int. Who made the affidavit, Kamberger or Schloegel?

Ans. There were so many of Mr. Bretz's conveyances passing through my hands that I am not able to state positively without having the instrument referred to directly before me.

5. X. Int. How can you remember whether or not the writing between the Mortgage and this acknowledgement, was there when you took the acknowledgement?