

2R Int. You have said that in selling the undivided half interest in your opinion, there would be a depreciation of 10% of the value can you tell why at the sales that have been attempted by Schloegel as assignee, the property has not brought its value less the 10% depreciation you speak of? (Ex to)

Ans. I dont think I could give any definite reason .

3R.Int. Have you heard and person express any fear about perohasing?

Ans. Nothing except they did not like partnership business.

To the Gen.Int.by the Examiner.

Ans. That is about all.

Edward C.Shafer.

Walper G.Musgrove a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the objection being duly sworn and examined deposes and says as follows:-

1st.Int. Where do you reside what is you occupation?

Ans. In Brunswick and am agent of the B & O.R.R.Co.

2 Int. Are you acquainted with the Bretz Property described in the proceedings in this cause and where is the same located?

Ans. I know the property it is located on R.R.St,between 1st & 2nd,Sts.It is in the Business part of the town.

3 Int. State the value of the property,commencing with the 3 story brick building?

Ans. I should the brick building would be worth from \$12000 to 14000.The next one west,(The 2 story store rooms)from \$1500 to \$1800.The next one west (Kaplins & the hotel building) I would consider woth \$4000.The next ene west (Drug Store building) I think ought to be worth \$2000 to 2200. The building immediately (the 3 story dwelling)I should think worth about \$850 or 900.The ten pin alley building,I put at about \$700.The bouble dwelling \$1400 or \$1500.including the lot to the livery stable yard.the livery stable about \$500.

4 x.Int What is the most judicious method of selling that property? (Excepted to)

Ans. I think the most judicious method would be to sell it in parcels according to the buildings as named and valued in my answer to the proceeding Interogatory.

5 x.Int. What are your reasons for so thinking?(Excepted to)

Ans. I would think that we have people who would purchase portions of the property and would not be able to purchase more and would have no use for other portions?

6.x.Int. Is the property readily of Susoptibility of such division?(Excepted to)

Ans. I should think so.It was built and put into use in such portions at differen times.

CROSS EXAMINATION OF MUSGROVE.

1.X.Int. Was not the Drug store,Hotel and Kaplinstore room,built all together?

Ans. No sir,my recollecton in that the Drug Store is the first building that was erected.

2.X.Int. Dont the weatherboarding cross?

Ans. I think there is a joint in the weather boarding between these two buildings covered by a perpendicular strip.

3X.Int. Is a part of the Drug store used as the Hotel?

Ans. It may be at this time.

4.X.Int. Then to sell that building separate from the Hotel Building would injure the Hotel building,would it not?

Ans. I dont think it would to a great extent.

4.X.Int.Do you know anyone that wants to buy a half interest in the Brick building or any of the other buildings?

Ans. I dont know.

5.X.Int. Don't you know that it would be a very difiicult thing to sell a half interest in those buildings with the little bit of land that would be attached to them? I refer to lot 60?

Ans. I do not know that sir.

6.X.Int.Don't you think so?

Ans. I think it would be in some of them.