

P E T I T I O N A N D C O U R T ' S O R D E R

Jessie Dusing and Callie	#	No. 9323 EQUITY.
Dusing, his wife, Jacob M.	#	In the Circuit Court
Dusing and Hattie Dusing,	#	for Frederick County,
his wife. Plaintiffs.	#	In Equity.
VS.	#	
Sophenia Stockett, et al	#	
Defendants.	#	

#####

To the Honorable the Judges of said Court:-

The petition of Ella Schroyer and John Schroyer, her husband, both of Frederick County, State of Maryland, respectfully represents unto your Honors;-

1. That Ella Schroyer is a daughter of Jacob Dusing, deceased,
2. That Jacob Dusing, deceased, was the father of seven children who survived his at his death.
3. That Ella Schroyer is one of the children of Jacob Dusing, Deceased, and as such is entitled to a one seventh (1/7) interest in all those lots or parcels of land described in the plaintiffs bill of Complaint.

Your Petitioners therefore pray that they may be made parties defendants to the above entitled cause. And as in duty bound. etc.,

D. Princeton Bukey
Holden S. Felton
Solicitors for Ella Schroyer and John Schroyer, her husband.

The foregoing petition having been read and considered it is thereupon;-

Ordered this 3rd day of June, 1915, by the Circuit of Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity and by the authority thereof, that the said Ella Schroyer and John Schroyer, her husband, be made parties defendants to this suit, as prayed by the foregoing petition.

Filed June 3rd 1915.

Glenn H. Worthington.

ANSWER OF ELLA SCHROYER AND JOHN SCHROYER, HER HUSBAND, TO THE PLAINTIFFS BILL OF COMPLAINT.

Jessie Dusing et al.	"	No. 9323 Equity. In the Circuit
vs.	"	Court for Frederick County
Sophenia Stockett et al.	"	Sitting as a Court of Equity.
	"	
	"	

-----0-----

To the Honorable the Judges of said Court:-

The joint and several answer of Ella Schroyer and John Schroyer, her husband, two of the defendants in this cause, to the bill of Complaint of Jessie Dusing et al against them and others in this Court exhibited. These defendants, answering, say;-

1. That they neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in the first, fourth and sixth paragraph of the plaintiff's bill of complaint.
2. That these defendants deny that Malinda Dusing, died seized and possessed, of that piece or parcel of land, as alleged and described in the second paragraph of the bill of complaint.
3. That they deny the allegations set forth in paragraph three of said bill.
4. That these defendants deny the allegations that they are unable to agree among them-selves as to the disposition of the said property, and they further deny all the other allegations in paragraph five of said bill.
5. These defendants futhering answering said bill of complaint charge and aver, that the tract or parcel of land, mentioned in "Exhibit B" filed in said cause, is a tract or parcel of land of which Jacob Dusing, died seized and possessed, and that Ella Schroyer, this respondent is entitled to a one-seventh interest in said property as an heir at law of Jacob Dusing, and that the said Ella Schroyer is also entitled to the sum of