

Daniel J. Shellman Trustee  
of A.S. Attick  
vs.  
A.S. Attick Mary E. Attick  
and Charles M. Attick.

No. 5668 EQUITY.

-----000-----

The answer of Albert S. Attick to the Bill of Complaint filed in cause Respectfully shows that he admits the matters and things stated in the Bill of Complaint and says it will to the interest of himself and children to sell the said house and lets.  
Albert S. Attick.  
Filed Feb. 20th, 1890.

ORDER OF COMMISSION TO TAKE ANSWER OF INFANT DEFENDANTS

D.J. Shellman Trustee of  
A.S. Attick  
vs.  
A.S. Attick et al.

No. 5668 EQUITY.  
In the Circuit Court  
for Frederick County, in Equity.

-----0-----

W.I. Parson Clerk of the Circuit Court for Frederick County in Equity. Issue a Commission to Joseph Gaver Esq, to take answer of infant defendants to the Bill of Complaint  
J. E. R. Weed  
Sol for Complainant

Ordered by the Circuit Court this 20th day of February 1890, that Joseph W. Gaver, be and he is appointed Guardian ad litem, to answer and defend the above suit for and on behalf of the infant defendants, Mary Eva. Attick and Charles M. Attick.  
John A. Lynch  
Judge of the Cir. Court.

Filed Feb. 20th, 1890.

ANSWER OF JOSEPH W. GAVER GUARDIAN AD LITEM.

Daniel J. Shellman, Trustee  
of Albert S. Attick et al.  
vs.  
Albert S. Attick Mary Eva  
Attick & Charles M. Attick

No. 5668 EQUITY.  
In the Circuit Court for  
Frederick County, sitting  
as a Court of Equity.

-----0-----

To the Honorable, the Judges of the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity!  
THE ANSWER OF Mary Eva Attick and Charles M. Attick, infants under the age of twenty-one years, by Joseph W. Gaver, their Guardian Ad Litem, to the Bill of Complaint of Daniel J. Shellman, Trustee & Co., against them et al. in the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity exhibited.

These Defendants cannot admit any of the matters and things alleged in the Bill, and being infants of tender years, submit their rights to the protection of this Court. Joseph W. Gaver Guardian ad litem.  
Filed Feb. 20th, 1890.

PETITION AND COURT'S ORDER WITHDRAWING TESTIMONY.

Daniel J. Shellman Trustee  
vs.  
A.S. Attick and others.

No. 5668 EQUITY.

-----0-----

In this case your Complainants respectfully shows that the testimony was taken and returned before the return day of the writ when the infants could appear and your Petitioner prays to be allowed to leave said testimony withdrawn and again taken so as then may be no objection to the proceedings

J. E. R. Weed  
Sol for Petitioner.

The following petition having been read and duly considered it is thereupon ordered that the plaintiff have and he is hereby granted leave to withdraw the testimony filed in this cause. And to take the testimony over again. March 10th, 1890.  
Filed March 10th, 1890.

Jas. McSherry  
John A. Lynch  
Judges of the Cir. Court.

COURT'S ORDER REFERRING TO EXAMINERS TO TAKE TESTIMONY