

erick County once in each of four successive weeks upon the 17th day of June 1889 giving notice to the said Lanes Nickum and Matilda Nickum, his wife, John Ramsburg, Edward Selby and Mahala Selbe, his wife, John Powell and Amanda Powell, his wife, the non residents defendants, of the object and purpose of this Bill and warn them and each of them to appear in this Court in person or by solicitor on or before the 3rd day of July 1889 to answer this Bill and show cause if any they have why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

W. Irving Parsons
Clerk of the Circuit Court for Frederick County, Maryland

Filed May 11-1889.

State of Maryland, Frederick County, to-wit:-

The State of Maryland.

To Sarah Ramsburg (wife of Cornelius Ramsburg) of Frederick County, greetings!

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED .That all excuses set apart, you personally appear before the Judges of the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity, to be held at the Court House in Frederick, in and for Frederick County, on the FIRST Monday of June 1889, to answer the Bill and Complaint of Savilla E. Ramsburg and George W. Ramsburg by Savilla E. Ramsburg his next friend against you and others in said Court exhibited, and so forth. Hereof fail you not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.



Witness the Honorable James McSherry, Chief Judge of said Court, the 4th day of March, A.D. 1889, Issued the 10th day of May A.D. 1889. W. Irving Parsons Clerk.

To the Sheriff of Frederick County.

(Matter) Filed June 4th, 1889. Summoned. Alonzo Benner Sheriff.

Answer of Mahala Selby and Edward Selby her husband.

Savilla Ramsburg and others.	"	No. 5556 EQUITY.
	"	In the Circuit Court
VS.	"	for Frederick County
George W. Ramsburg	"	on Equity.
Charles W. Ramsburg	"	
Mahala Selby and	"	
Edward Selby, her	"	
husband, etal.	"	

-----0-----

To the Honorable the Judges of the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity.- The Defendants Mahala Selby and Edward Selby, her husband, of De Kalb County, State of Missouri for answer to ^{the} plaintiffs Bill of Complaint filed in this cause say:-

1st. That they admit to be true the allegations contained in the first paragraph of the Plaintiffs said Bill that the said Daniel Ramsburg died in the month of March 1889, intestate and that the real estate described in Exhibits was 1,2,3,4,5,6, filed with said Bill, is the real estate of which the said Daniel Ramsburg died seized and possessed excepting that described in Exhibit No. 7 also filed as part of said Bill,

2d. And the said Defendants also admit to be true the allegations contained in the 2d paragraph of the Plaintiffs Bill of Complaint enumerating the heirs at law and children of the said Daniel Ramsburg except that the name of Isaac Ramsburg a son of the said intestate has been omitted,

3rd. And the said Defendants admit to be true the allegations contained in the 3d paragraph of the plaintiffs said Bill.

4th. And the said Defendants admit to be true the allegations of the 4th, paragraph of the Plaintiffs said Bill as to the residents of the Defendants to said Bill except that your respondents reside in the State of Missouri and not in the State of Kansas as alleged in said paragraph.

5th, And the said Defendants for answer to the fifth paragraph of the Plaintiffs said Bill, admit that the said real estate is not susceptible of division among the said heirs at law, without great loss and damage, and that it would be to the interest and advantage of all of them to have the same sold and the proceeds divided among them.

6th. But the said Defendants for answer to the 6th paragraph of the plaintiffs said Bill of Complaint utterly deny that they ever received any share of money or property from their father Daniel