

Ans. It has been enlarged, this was done in the winter of 1911, and the spring of 1912.

6. At the time these changes was made did Mrs Stonebraker have any interest in this cottage?

Ans. No. Mrs. Rowland the defendant had acquired her interest, as is evidence by Exhibit C.

7. By whom was the other interest held?

Ans. By me.

8. When did you first learn of these changes being made to this cottage?

Ans. Some time after they were started.

9. Did you and the Defendant ever talk of any changes being made before they were started?

Ans. Yes. over a year before they were started.

10. What was said?

Ans. I said I was not willing to make any improvements.

11. Did you tell her why?

Ans. Yes because I Could'nt afford it.

12. Were you consulted with reference to the actual changes that were made to the cottage?

Ans. Not at all.

13. Did you first learn of these changes from the defendant?

Ans. No through a third party.

14. Where did you hear this?

Ans. In spring of 1912.

15. During the time these changes were actually being made, were you consulted as to them at the time or not?

Ans. Not at all.

16. Did you enter into any contract with reference to them?

Ans. None.

17. What was said by you or your Mother with reference to these changes or improvements?

Ans. She told she did not think any person should object to having their property improved.

18. Was any thing said by her with reference to the cost of having this property improved?

Ans. I never knew how much they cost.

19. Did she ever ask you to contribute to the cost of improving the property?

Ans. Never.

20. State whether or not, you in any way assented or agreed to making said improvements or the expenditure of any money in connection therewith?

Ans. I did not.

21. You are familiar with the lots and the improvements made to this property are you not?

Ans. Perfectly.

22. Is said land susceptible of partition division between you and the defendants without loss and injury to both your interests?

Ans. Nosir, it could not.

23. Would it be to the interest and advantage to the parties of interest therein to have said land and property sold and the proceeds thereof divided?

Ans. Yes it would be. CROSS EXAMINATION WAIVED. To Gen. Int.:-

Ans. I dont know of any thing else.

H. Edith Thompson.