

1st. she admits the allegations set forth in the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth and sixth paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint.

2nd. Answering the allegations set forth in the seventh paragraph of the Bill of Complaint, she admits that she converted the cottage into a boarding house, by means of interior changes and additions; she denies that said alterations did not in any way add to the vendible value of said property; she denies that the changes and additions to said house were made without the consent and knowledge of said H. Edith Thompson, and on the contrary alleges that all the changes and additions were made with the consent, knowledge and approval of said Edith Thompson, and avers that the improvement added largely to the value of the property.

3rd. Answering the eighth and tenth paragraph of said Bill of Complaint, the Defendant says; That for the work and labor done and material furnished on said cottage payments were made from time to time to the contractor for the same; the further allegations of the said eighth and tenth paragraphs she neither admits nor denies.

4th. She admits the allegations set forth in paragraph nine of said Bill of Complaint and consents to the passage of a decree as therein prayed.

And as in duty bound etc. Helen D. Rowland.

William S. Levy  
Daniel S. Briscoe  
Solicitors for Defendants.

Filed October 30th, 1912.

GENERAL REPLICATION.

H. Edith Thompson,  
G. Livingston Thompson,  
her husband.  
vs.  
Helen D. Rowland.

%  
%  
%  
%  
%  
%

No. 8904 EQUITY.  
In the Circuit Court for  
Washington County.  
In Equity.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT!

H. Edith Thompson and G. Livingston Thompson, her husband, complainants in the above entitled cause, join issue on the matter and facts alleged in the answer of Helen D. Rowland, so far as the same may be taken to deny or avoid the allegations of the bill of complaint in above cause filed. And as in duty etc.

Filed November 6th, 1912.

Stonebraker & Spessard  
Solicitors for Complainants.

H. Edith Thompson,  
G. Livingston Thompson,  
her husband.  
vs.  
Helen D. Rowland.

&  
&  
&  
&  
&  
&  
&

No. 8,904 EQUITY.  
In the Circuit Court for  
Frederick County,  
In Equity.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT!--

This Petition of John M. Miller and George A. Miller, partners, Trading as Miller Brothers, respectfully shows!--

1.

That your Petitioners are dealers in Lumber and other building materials, and that they reside and have their place of business at Williamsport, in Washington County, Maryland,

2.

That the above entitled Petition suit was filed for the purpose of obtaining sale of certain of the real estate left by James L. Rowland, deceased, and for the purpose of having the proceeds of sale distributed to the parties entitled thereto.

3.

That before the filing of the above entitled suit and in due time as required by law, your petitioners gave notice to the reputed owners thereof, that they intended to claim their Mechanic's Lien against a certain part of the real estate sought to be sold by said partition suit; and that subsequent to the filing of the above