THE NEWS Frederick,Md.,April 8th,1916.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY,That the annexed Trustees Public Sale was published in "The Daily News",a Newspaper published in Frederick County,once a week four successive weks prior to the 8th day of April 1916

The News
C.W.F.

Filed April 11th,1916.

We Thomas R.Saylor and Daisy F.Saylor,do hereby acknowledge that we have this day purchased from Charles C.Waters,Jacob Rohrback and Jesse F.R.Heagey Trustees and Jesse F.R.Heagey Executor &c,the property and premises described in the annexed advertisement of sale at and for the sum of Twenty Four Hundred & fifty Dollars,and we hereby bind and obligate myself to comply with the terms of sale as set forth in said advertisement of sale.

Witness our hands and seals this 8th day of April 1916.

Thomas R.Saylor (SEAL)
Daisy F.Saylor (SEAL)

Witness Wm.E.Danner Auct. Filed April 11th,1916.

ORDERED by the Circuit Court for Frederick County,sitting as a Court of Equity and by the authority thereof,this 4th day of May A.D.1916,that the report of sales filed by the trustees in the aforegoig case be and the same are hereby finally ratified and confirmed,no cause to the contrary having been shown,although due notice seems to have been given by the printers certificate herewith filed,and the papers are hereby refered to the auditer to state an account.

Glenn H.Worthington

Filed May 4th,1916.

Arthur Cromwell et al.
VS.
Robert Cromwell et al.

No. 9395 EQUITY.
In the Circuit Court for Frederick County,sitting as a Court of Equity.

()()()()()()()()()()()()

OPINION AND DECREE

Susannah Cromwell died in the year 1885 seized and possessed of a house and lot located at Walkersville in Frederick County,which said house and lot,by her last will and testament duly probated etc. she devised to her daughter,Lucretia Amelia,for and during her life,with the right of abode in the same house to her son,Zachariah T.,so long as he should remain unmarried.The fee in the property after the death of these two children was not disposed of by the will,and therefore reverted to the heirs of the testatrix at her death,subject to the rights for life of the two childnen above named.

These two childred are now dead and the property has been sold by trustees appointed by this Court,in the above entitled cause,for the purpose of partition amongst the reversioners or heirs at law of the testatrix.it seems,however,that during the time that Lusretia Amelia and Zachariah T.occupied the premises under the provisions of the will of their mether,certain buildings and improvements were erected and made thereon at the expence of Zachariah T.Cromwell who,as above stated,was given an abode there so long as he remained unmarried.Zachariah T.Cromwell having never married,resided on the premises until his death in the year 1916,leaving a last will and testament by which he made disposition of his property and appointed Jesse F.R.Heagey to be his Executor.This Executor was made a party to the proceedings for the sale of the property,and in his answer set forth the improvements made by his testator as above mentioned,and claimed an allowance therefor out of the proceeds of sale.The buildings and improvements for which payment is demanded were specified in the answer as follows:- "Consisting of an excellent well,part dug and walled,and part drilled and completed,and equipped with pumping outfit;a front porch to the dwelling house with foundation,a summer kitchen, and an outhouse or summer kitchen with founfations and mason work;plastering and painting said dwelling house and other buildings,building a meat shop,a slaughter shop and carriage house,and stable, making and erecting fences and other improvements thereon whereby said real estate was greatly enhanced to at least $600.in value."After the filing of this answer,an agreement was entered into by and between the parties interested,through their respective attorneys,whereby it was stipulated that the property should be sold as an entirety,including the buildings etc.mentioned,and that the executors rights,whatsoever they might be,should be satisfied out of the proceeds of sale as the Court shall determine after hearing testimony in regard to the claim set up in the answer.Evidence was accordingly taken before the Court as to the character and value of these improvements and buildings,and it was shown to the satisfaction of the Court that the same were made and erected in the main for the