F. Cramer and Lillian F. Titlow without loss and injury, and would it or not be of interest and advantage to the parties of interest, especially to the advantage and interest of the infant for the property to be sold and the proceeds divided accoeding to their respective rights and interests?
Ans. The property is not susceptible of division without great loss and injury to the interests of the parties entitled thereto, and it would be to the interest and advantage of the parties especially the infant Madge F. Cramer, to have the property sold, and the proceeds divided according to their interests therein. The reason it would be advantageous to sell the property and especially the infant for this property to be sold, because the property needs and has needed so many repairs that to repair it and pay the taxes, the income from it is not sufficient to do this and the further fact that lillian F. Titlow is now married her share is now due and demandable.
To the Gen. Int.
Nothing Further.

Charles M. Cramer

Witness fee .75c.

William F. Freeze, a witness of lawful age, produced on the part of the Plaintiff having been duly sworn deposes and says--
State your name, age and residence?
William J. Freeze, 63 years old and have resided at Thurmont, where I have lived all my life.
I know the parties to this cause. They lived neighbors to me and my property adjoins them, the property in question.
2nd. Do you know the property owned by Madge F. Cramer and William F. Cramer, where the same is located, of what the same consists, and about what is its value?
Ans--I know the property that they own. It is located in Thurmont, Frederick County, Md., on Water street, it consists of house and lot, and in my judgment it is worth about $1000.00. I look at Exhibit A now shown me, and it correctly describes the property.
3rd. Is the property mentioned in Exhibit A susceptible of division amongst the parties entitled thereto, and in your judgment would it be to the inerest and advantage of the parties and especially the infant to have the property sold and the proceeds divided according to their respective interests?.
Ans. It is not susceptible of division at all without great loss and damages to the interests of the parties and it would be of interest and advantage to them and especially the infant to have the property sold and the proceeds divided among them, or the infant's share properly invested for her.
To Gen. Int.
Nothing further.

William J. Freeze.

Attendance	.75
Mileage	1.60
	$2.35

No other witnesses being named or produced to me, I then at the request of the solicitors for the Plaintiff, closed the depositions taken in said cause, and now return them closed under my hand and seal this 18th day of July A. D. 1914, at the City of frederick Maryland.

Witness my hand and seal

D. Princeton Buckey (seal)
Examiner

There are two exhibits filed with me in the above cause with the above depositions marked A. & B. respectively.

D. Princeton Buckey, Examiner.