No. 8862. Equity.

The aforegoing petition of Richard J. Cornbaw and David Lowenstein, Ancillary Receivers of the Baltimore & Washington White Cross Milk Company, having been read and considered: It is therefore, this 16th day of November, in the year Nineteen Hundred and twelve, by the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity and by the authority thereof, ordered, adjudged and decreed that Charles Bendheim and Albert S. Gatley, Trustees under the deed of trust mentioned in these proceedings be and they are hereby made parties Defendant in the above entitled cause. It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the petition of the bondholders under the second deed of trust mentioned in these proceedings be named and filed, and the same is hereby so ordered.

John C. Motter.

Filed Nov. 16-1912.

Petition of Second Mortgage Bondholders.

Filed March 6/1913

To the Honorable, the Circuit Court for Frederick County, State of Maryland.

The undersigned respectfully represent to the Court that they are owners and holders of the number of Bonds hereinbelow set opposite their names respectively, that said bonds were issued by the defendant Company under a deed of trust, dated the 16th day of January, 1912, to Charles Bendheim and Albert S. Gatley, Trustees, which deed of trust is recorded in Liber H. H. B. No. 299, folio 18, &c. one of the Land Records of Frederick County, Maryland and is a second mortgage upon the property therein conveyed. Said deed of trust authorized an issue of 875 bonds, aggregating in par value Thirty-seven Thousand Five Hundred ($37,500) of which 303 bonds aggregating in par value Thirty Thousand Three Hundred Dollars ($30,000) have been issued by the defendant Company, and of which 72 bonds, aggregating in par value Seven Thousand Two Hundred Dollars ($7,200) still remain in the Treasury of the said defendant Company. That the defendant, Baltimore & Washington White Cross Milk Company, was placed in the hands of Richard J. Cornbaw and David Lowenstein, as ancillary receivers have been in the active conduct of the business of said defendant Company. That they are advised and believe that the business of said Company cannot longer be operated without retailing without entailing much loss in