No. 8862 Equity.

recorded on January 18. 1912 in Liber N.H.B. No. 299. Folio 2 etc. one of the Land Records of Frederick County, Maryland.

Fourth; That of the authorized issue of bonds under said deed of trust, one hundred and sixty-five (165) bonds of the par value of one Hundred (100). Dollars each, aggregating Sixteen Thousand, Five Hundred (16.500). Dollars, have been actually issued and are outstanding, the remaining Sixty (60) bonds being now in the treasury of said defendant Corporation. That of the said outstanding bonds the petitioner, Charles Wertheimer Assignee of the Central National Bank of Frederick, is a bona fide holder for value of fifty (50) of said bonds aggregating in par value Five Thousand (5000.) Dollars, as collateral pledged by the defendant Corporation to secure the said promissory note of Five Thousand (5000.) Dollars of said Corporation held by said petitioner, which promissory note is now due and payable, that of said outstanding bonds the petitioner, George L. Greenman is a bona fide holder for value of one Hundred (100) of said bonds aggregating in Par Value One Thousand Dollars (1.000). which bonds were duly purchased at par by said Greenman from the defendant Corporation, and that the remainder of said bonds outstanding in Number fifteen, aggregating in par value one Thousand, Five Hundred (1.500.) Dollars, are held by your petitioner, A. S. Overhalt, who is the bona fide holder for value thereof, having purchased said bonds at par from the defendant Corporation,

Fifth, That interest at six per cent. per annum became due in accordance with the terms of each of the bonds above described and as set forth in the said deed of trust, on July 13. 1912, and that neither said interest, nor any part thereof, has been paid and that in defendance with the provisions of said deed of trust the default in payment of interest at any semi annually period at which due, renders the whole indebtedness due at the option of the bond holders.

6th. That, as the petitioners are informed and believe the receipt of the ancillary receivers above named from the operation of the business of the defendant Corporation were less than the disbursements during the months of July and August succeeding their appointment, and