

No. 8839 Equity.

Abraham M. Sandauer, a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the Plaintiffs having been duly sworn deposes and says.

My name is Abraham M. Sandauer over 61 years. I reside in Frederick Maryland on East Church Street and have lived here all my life. I know Steiner Schley all my life and I know all the parties to this suit and the real estate mentioned in these proceedings.

The said Steiner Schley died in August 1911. testate leaving a widow aged 51 years and a daughter Silian S. Schley a widow aged 51 years and a daughter Silian K. Schley adult and John Reading Schley an infant about 17 years of age.

I am familiar with the terms of the Contract between the Schleys and Sawenstein and Worthimer at \$9500 = for the property and I consider that price an extraordinary good sale of the same for that amount.

In my judgment it will be to the interest and advantage of all the parties and especially to the interest and advantage of the infant John Reading Schley to sell the property at the price of \$9500 = because the income from the fund when invested would be ^{of} greater benefit to the parties than to hold the property and \$200 = to \$300 = more than could be realized to hold the property.

All the parties to this cause reside in Frederick City Maryland.

To Her Hon. the Court I know of Abraham M. Sandauer.

John M. Frenge, a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the Plaintiff having been duly sworn deposes and says.

My name is John M. Frenge my age is 31 years. I live in Frederick City, Maryland. I am engaged in the Real Estate Business and Insurance.

I am familiar with the value of Real Estate in Frederick City. I know the house and lot mentioned in these proceedings very well and regard the price of \$9500 = therefor mentioned in Exhibit 2 and 11. as a full and fair price for this property - and rather more than I expected it to bring. I think it to the interest and advantage of all the parties to this cause, infant as well as the adults that the sale of this property be ratified and confirmed by the Court because the income from the money were deposited in bank at 4% would yield more than any possible rental of the property, after deducting taxes, insurance and repairs - all.