

No. 7399. Equity.

Answer of William Thomas against John and others in this Court exhibited.

1. These defendants admit the matters and facts set forth in the first, second, third, fourth, sixth, seventh, eighth and ninth paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint and consent to the passage of a decree as prayed.

2. That as to the allegations set forth in the fourth paragraph of said bill of Complaint, these defendants do not know but leave the plaintiff to her proof.

And as in duty, etc.

Joseph H. Gannon
Solicitor for Defendants.

Answer of John Smith.

Filed Oct. 24th 1901.

1st. The answer of William A. Smith to the Bill of Complaint filed against him and others in the above entitled cause. This respondent answering said Bill answers and states that he admits that he obtained and still holds the judgment set forth in the eighth paragraph of said Bill and says that the whole amount of said judgment is still due and owing with interest thereon from the date thereof and he consents to the passage of a decree for the sale of the real estate mentioned, reserving however his lien and priority in the proceeds of sale as fully to every interest and purpose as the same now exists on the said real estate.

And that as to the other matters and things in said Bill alleged he states that he is not informed and can neither admit, nor deny the same.

John S. Newman
Solr. for Respondent.

Answer of Jas. M. Thomas.

Filed Jan'y 27th 1902.

To the Honorable the Judge of said Court:—
The answer of John M. Thomas, one of the defendants in the above entitled cause to the Bill of Complaint of Mary J. Thomas filed against him and others respectfully shews:—

That this respondent admits the allegations of said Bill of Complaint to be true and consents to the passage of a decree as therein prayed.

John M. Thomas
Defendant