

No. 8271 Equity.

Received 19th day of Feb. 1908, and forthwith delivered to the Sheriff of Baltimore City

That:

S. L. Little, Solicitor

Now Sent.

Robert J. Fadzell Sheriff.

Returned & filed Feb. 2 1908.

Answers of Emma M. Beal et al.

Filed Feb. 9 1908.

John H. Boyer and Mary E. Boyer his wife.

Emma M. Beal and Emory A. Beal her husband. And others

No. 8271 Equity. In the Circuit Court for Frederick County, etc. Equity.

The joint and separate answers of Emma M. Beal, Emory A. Beal, her husband, Alice M. Dupuy, Fannie E. Boyer and Elizabeth Boyer, his wife, to the Bill of Complaint filed against them by John H. Boyer and Mary E. Boyer his wife, in the above case respectfully show:

1. That they admit the allegations contained in the second paragraph of said Bill to be true.
3. That they admit the allegations contained in the third paragraph of said Bill to be true.
4. Answering the fourth paragraph of said Bill, these Respondents say that they admit that the said property of which said Gentry Boyer died seized and possessed and described in Plaintiff's "Exhibit No. 1" is in part as stated in said fourth paragraph, and that the same is not susceptible of division or partition among the parties thereto entitled without loss and injury, and while these Respondents consent to the granting of a decree for the sale of said real estate as prayed in said Bill for partition among the parties entitled, they yet say that these proceedings were entirely unnecessary, as all the parties in interest were adults and capable of disposing of said real estate without the intervention of a Court in Equity, and the granting of a decree therefor, and these respondents, who respect a third party