

NO 6437. Equity.

Answer of Mary Keays,
Widow.

Dated Jan'y 20. 1896.

To the Honourable the Judge of Said Court:-

The answer of Mary Keays, Widow of Alston
L. Keays deceased to the Bill of Complaint against
her and others in your Honourable Court exhibits.

This defendant answering Said Bill of
Complaint says that she admits the several
matters and things stated and alleged in
Said Bill of Complaint to be true as therein
set forth, and hereby consents to the passage of a
decree as therein proposed.

Mary ^{for} Keays
~~Widow~~

Decree Pro-confesso & Order to take testimony.
Dated Nov. 30. 1895.

It appearing from the proceedings in this Cause that
the order of Publication heretofore issued therein has
been duly published, as prescribed by Said Order
and the defendants Enoch Fry, William Fry, and
the unknown heirs of Elias Fry, deceased thereby
notified, and having failed to appear either in
person or by Solicitor and answer to the Bill of
Complaint therein filed against them, and it further
appearing that the defendants Matthew S. Fry, Jonathan
Fry and Susan M. Fry, his wife have answered and
consented to the passage of a decree as therein proposed
and the General Replication having been filed -
It is thereupon this 30th day of November 1895
by the Circuit Court of Frederick County, in Equity,
adjudged, ordered and decreed that Said Bill of
Complaint be and the same is hereby taken pro confesso
as against Said defendants named in Said order
of Publication.

And it further appearing that Said defendants,
Samuel Fry, James Fry, Alston Fry, Ellen Fry, John
Fry, William Fry, Preston Fry, Emory Fry, Matthew Fry,
Fannie Fry, Sarah Fry, having been duly summoned,
and having failed to appear and answer as required
by Said summons, it is therefore further ordered
and decreed by the authority aforesaid that the
plaintiffs are settled, it is further ordered and decreed
that leave be granted to Said Plaintiffs to take testimony before
one of the standing examiners of this Court to support the