No 7525. Equity.

Cause respectfully shows unto your Honors;

1. That she has filed her Bill of Review in this Court seeking to have the decree in this Cause dis-annulled and set aside and for permission to come in and defend in this case as will be seen from the papers in said Cause etc.

2. That the Trustee in this case is about to sell on June 20 th. 1903 the real estate mentioned in the proceedings in this Case

3 That the ground of the title Claimed by the Plaintiff and asserted by him to be in the other Defendants in this Cause is the fact that the Will of Bridget Sweeney filed a Exhibit 2 with the Bill in this case is null and void by reason of uncertainty and by reason, as alleged of creating an estate forbidden by law

4 That the decree directed the land to be sold for the purpose mentioned in the Bill: that the purposes mentioned in the Bill are for division among the parties in interest of the proceeds of sale by reason of the indivisibility of the property without great loss and injury. and thus if its being for the benefit of the parties to said Bill. that the Bill is a for a sale in the nature of partition.

5 That the decree does not adjudicate wether or not the Will of Bridget Sweeny is void or valid

6. That under the pleadings and allegations of the Bill and the language of the decree in this cause it is impossible to tell what interest is being sold by the Trustee

7 That Plaintiff in her Bill for Review has set forth errors upon the face of the Record in this case, which if found well taken by the Court will make the decree null and void under it of none effect

8 That the fact of the pendency of the Bill of Review for error apparent upon the face of the record, which the Court can see at a glance is bona fide and alleges substantial error together with the doubt as to the rights of the parties to the suit which will be sold by the Trustee under the decree, of necessity will operate most prejudicially to the sale of the property, while if said sale takes place the rights or claim of right to the property in the Bill in this Case mentioned will add additional Complications, which the interest of all parties require should be avoided.

9. That the Trustee is but the hand of the Court who is make the sale and the delaying of the sale until the questions raised under the Bill of Review all of which questions are matters of law apparent upon the face of the record, can only work a slight delay and the trifling expense of a re-advertisement, if the Court should over-rule the points made by the Bill of Review.

10. That the property decreed to be sold is the only home of your Petitioner, that to sell it over her head involves her in great loss and damage, that if upon a hearing of the points