

No. 6992 Equity

Answer of Charles Lutz

which if made at all were made as the respondent avers for the personal benefit and enjoyment of the said Ellen, John, John and William Lutz and the preservation of the property as to home and shelter for their use and enjoyment; that said alleged claim are stale and that no such claims were ever made or asserted to his knowledge before the institution of these proceedings and that he had no notice or knowledge of any such alleged claims before the filing of said Bill of Complaint though he has always resided in said Frederick County and in the same town where said Plaintiff has resided.

And having fully answered this respondent prays to be hence dismissed with his reasonable costs in this behalf most wrongfully incurred.

Wm. P. Moulton and
William C. Knick Attys
for Charles Lutz the respondent.

Filed April 25. 1899.

Answer of Jesse Hyder

Ellen Lutz }
vs. } No. 6992 Equity
John Lutz et. al. } in the Circuit Court for Frederick County
sitting as a Court of Equity.

To the Honorable the Judges of said Court:

The Answer of Jesse Hyder, William Hyder and Corie Hyder his wife, Sue Hyder and John Hyder to the Bill of Complaint of Ellen Lutz Plaintiff against these respondents and others defendants in your Honorable Court Exhibited these respondents reserving to themselves all advantages which might be taken by way of exception to said Bill on account of the defects thereof answering says:-

1st That these respondents admit the several matters and things stated and alleged in the first, fourth, fifth and tenth paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint to be true.

2nd And answering further these respondents admit the several matters and things stated and alleged in the second paragraph of said Bill to be true except as to the alleged intestacy of Elizabeth Lutz, which these respondents say they neither admit nor deny because they do not know.

3rd And further answering these respondents admit the several matters and things stated and alleged in the third paragraph of said Bill except as to the amount of the interest in the real estate which vested in Amanda Hyder which these respondents say they neither admit nor deny because they do not know; and except as to the amount of the interest in said real estate which vested in Elizabeth Lutz and her dying intestate thereof which these respondents say they neither admit nor deny because they do not know.

4th And further answering these respondents admit the several matters and things stated and alleged in the 6th paragraph of said Bill except that they deny that the Plaintiff and her brother John Lutz assisted in maintaining and supporting their mother the said Catherine Lutz, or that she was for a number of years prior to her death so enfeebled and helpless as to be entirely dependent on said Plaintiff and said John Lutz for maintenance and support and these respondents further answering avers that the said Catherine Lutz was an industrious, active and sturdy woman in the enjoyment of good health up until

Answer of Jesse

Answer of John & Lu