No. 6653. Equity

4th. 5th. 6th. 7th 8th. 9th and 10th paragraphs of said Bill to be true and that they consent to the passage of such decree as may be right and proper in the premises.

And as in duty bound etc.

Reno S. Harp
Solicitor for Defendant
C.N.S. and L.P.S.

Filed Dec. 30th 1896

Answer,

Answer of John S. Newman & Edwin S. Eichelberger

Newman & Maulsby, Permanent Trustees in insolvency of William E. Shafer.

vs.

Newman & Maulsby, Permanent Trustees of Margaret Shafer's life tenant. et al.

No. 6653. Equity.
In the Circuit Court for Frederick County. in Equity.

Answer

The Answer of John S. Newman and Edward S. Eichelberger. Permanent Trustees in insolvency of Robert E. Shafer to the Bill of Complaint filed against them and others in the above entitled cause.

These respondents, Answering, say:-

That they admit the allegations and charges contained in the respective paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint, to be true as therein stated and charged, and agree that a decree may be passed by your Honorable Court for the sale of the said lands mentioned and described in said proceedings.

And said respondents further answering state, that since the filing of said Bill of Complaint, at an election held by the creditors of said Robert E. Shafer, John S. Newman and Edward S. Eichelberger, were elected Permanent Trustees of said insolvent, and the said Newman & Maulsby, Preliminary Trustees have conveyed all their interest in the property of said insolvent to said Permanent Trustees,

E. S. Eichelberger &
John S. Newman
Perm Trustees

Filed Jany 4. 1897

Answer.

Answer of the Frederick Town Savings Institution

Newman & Maulsby, Permanent Trustees of William E. Shafer, in insolvency.

vs.

Newman & Maulsby, Permanent Trustees of Margaret Shafer life tenant, The Frederick town Savings Institution and others,

No. 6653. Equity

In the Circuit Court Frederick County, in Equity.

The Answer of the Frederick town Savings Institution to the Bill of Complaint filed against it and others