No. 6459. Equity.

that the said Bill will be dismissed so far as these defendants are concerned and that they may be allowed their reasonable Costs.

And as in duty bound etc.

Jacob Rohrbach
Solicitor for John H. Poole and Anna Poole his wife, and for James Litz and Ollie Litz his wife.

November 14th 1895.

Filed November 14. 1895.

General Replication

General Replication

William F. Hays
vs
John T. Johnson, Mary Catherine Johnson, his wife, Lucinda Jett, et al.

No 6459. Equity

In the Circuit Court for Frederick County, in Equity.

The Plaintiff joins issue on the matters alleged in the answers of John H. Poole and Anna Poole, his wife, James Litz and Ollie Litz his wife, defendants in the above entitled cause, so far as the same may be taken to deny or avoid the allegations of the Bill.

Ross, Reich & Newman.
Solrs. for Plff.

Filed December 7. 1895.

Decree pro Confesso & Court Order

Decree pro Confesso & Court Order

William F. Hays
vs
John T. Johnson, Mary Catherine Johnson, his wife, Lucinda Jett, et al.

No. 6459 Equity.

In the Circuit Court for Frederick County, in Equity.

The Order of Publication heretofore passed in this Cause, having been published, as appears from the printers Certificate herewith filed, and the said non-resident Defendants, John T. Johnson and Mary Catherine Johnson, his wife, Lucinda Jett, and the unknown heirs of Benjamin Smith, of Leonard, deceased, having failed to appear and answer the Bill of Complaint, and the resident defendants Emanuel Poole and Alepina Poole, his wife, and Adam Rigel, having been duly summoned to appear to said Bill, and having failed to appear thereto,

It is thereupon this Seventh day of December, in the year Eighteen hundred and Ninety five, by the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity, and by the Authority thereof, adjudged ordered and decreed, that the said Bill of Complaint be and the same is hereby taken, pro Confesso, as against said non-resident defendants, and that as against the aforesaid resident defendants