No. 5960, Equity.

infants under the age of twenty-one years by Joseph W. Gaver their Guardian ad litem to the Bill of Complaint of Minnie K. Elligan et al against them et al in the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity exhibited.

These Defendants cannot admit any of the matters and things alleged in the Bill, and being infants of tender years, submit their rights to the protection of this Court.

Filed June 10th 1892.

Joseph W. Gaver, Guardian ad litem

General Replication

General Replication

Minnie K. Elligan and others vs. Thomas Elligan, surviving husband of Kate Elligan and others	No. 5960. Equity In the Circuit Court for Frederick County in Equity.

The plaintiffs join issue on the matters alleged in the answers of Nora V. C. Elligan and David M. Elligan, infant defendants, filed in said cause by Joseph W. Gaver their Guardian ad litem, so far as the same may be taken to deny or avoid the allegations of the Bill.

Charles W. Boes
Benj. F. Reich
Sol'rs. for Plffs.

Filed June 10th 1892.

Affidavit of Lewis S. Elligan

Affidavit of Lewis S. Elligan

State of Maryland
Frederick County, To wit:-

I hereby Certify that on this 10th day of June in the year 1892, before me the Subscriber, Clerk of the Circuit Court for Frederick County, personally appeared Lewis S. Elligan, of Frederick County, State of Maryland, and made oath in due form of law that the lands and premises mentioned and described in No. 5960 Equity, and therein prayed to be sold for the purpose of dividing the proceeds of sale consist of a lot and a small frame dwelling house situate on East Fourth street Frederick City Md.

That the same descended to the Children of Kate Elligan, subject to the life estate of Thomas Elligan, her surviving husband, that all of said Children are of age except two who are minors, that prior to the filing of the Bill (which was only filed for the purpose of effectuating title), all the parties in interest had agreed to sell the said lot to David Stolb for the sum of One thousand and fifty dollars and that the same is a fair and adequate price for the said lot and premises, and it is to the interest and advantage of the parties that the same should be sold; that the said lot and premises can not be divided without loss and injury and that the sale of the said lot and premises is a necessary result of the filing of said proceedings. Test

John L. Jordan
Clerk

Filed June 13, 1892.