No. 5721 Equity.

General Replication

Wm A. Hood and Ella Hood his wife
vs
Rebecca Vansant et. al.

In the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity.

To the Honorable the Judges of said Court

The plaintiffs join issue on the matters alleged in the answer of Wm E. Vansant Weedon Clary, and Sarah E. Clary his wife, J. Wesley Vansant, and Amelia Vansant his wife, William Anderson, and Virginia A. Anderson his wife, Harry Helsby, Joseph Helsby, and James Helsby, by their guardian Joseph W. Gaver, so far as the same may be taken to deny or avoid the allegations of the bill.

And As in duty &c

John C. Motter
Solicitor for Plaintiffs

Filed November 6th 1890.

Testimony &c

Testimony &c

No. 5721 Equity

William A. Hood and Ella Hood, his wife
vs
Rebecca Vansant
Wm E. Vansant
Wm Anderson &
Virginia A. Anderson
et. al.

In the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity.

To the Honorable the Judges of said Court

The defendants having been duly summoned and notified to appear and answer the Bill of Complaint filed in the above entitled Cause and William E. Vansant, Weedon Clary and Sarah E. Clary his wife, J. Wesley Vansant and Amelia Vansant his wife, and William Anderson and Virginia A. Anderson his wife, having duly answered said bill, consent to the passage of a decree for the sale of the real Estate mentioned in said Bill of Complaint, and the infants Harry Helsby, Joseph Helsby and James Helsby having duly answered by their guardian said Bill and the defendants Rebecca Vansant, Susie Vansant, Abel J. Vansant and Clandia Vansant his wife although duly summoned to appear and answer said Bill, having failed to appear and answer as required it is therefore on this seventh day of November A.D. 1890, by the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity and by the authority thereof ordered and decreed that said Bill be taken as pro confesso against said defendants, Rebecca Vansant, Susie Vansant, Abel J. Vansant and Clandia Vansant his wife, and it is further ordered because the Court does not for a Certainty know to what relief the plaintiffs are entitled that the papers be referred to the standing