No. 5823 Equity

2. Q. State if you know whether William H. Greenholtz the husband of Margaret E. Greenholtz abandoned her and the State of Maryland if yes, when how long has the same continued, and give the circumstances of said abandonment?

A. He left her and the State of Maryland in May 1881, from all the information ever I received he went to the State of Ohio. he become in a measure abusive to his family and trifling, and paying attention to another woman other than his wife. he has not returned since he left his wife & children support themselves since he left. I have never seen him since he left

To the General Interrogatories propounded by the Examiner

Ans I know nothing further

Luke C. Enson.

Answer of Guardian ad litem

Whereupon there being no other witnesses to be examined and no further time being required for the production of evidence the said Examiner hereby certifies that the aforegoing are the original Depositions in this Cause as the same were read over to the Witnesses and signed by them respectively and he herewith returns the same inclosed to the Court

Witness my hand this 30th day of July A.D. 1891

Wm Wilcoyne

Examiner

Decree

Costs of aforegoing Testimony

Wm Wilcoyne Examiner fee	$4.00
O. J. Gover Sheff fee	1.20
Luke C. Enson witness fee & attendance	3.55
S. Saw Wickert Sheff. Carroll Co. Md	.80
Charles W Greenholtz witness " "	2.75
Wm R. Curry " "	2.55
	$13.85

Endorsed

The Execution of the within will appear from certain Schedules thereunto annexed

Wm Wilcoyne

Examiner.

Filed July 30th 1891

Guardian ad litem

Charles Devilbiss Anderson & and others

William R. Curry Adams & C. and others

No. 5823 Equity.

In the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity.

To the Honorable the Judges of said Court

The petition of the Plaintiff in the above entitled Cause respectfully represents unto your Honors that Harvey E. Greenholtz and Grace M. Greenholtz the infant defendants in said cause have been duly returned summoned but that they have no guardian within the jurisdiction of this Court to appear and answer for them and being infants they cannot answer and defend for themselves. Your petitioner therefore