No. 5149 Equity

Answer of Joseph L. Rontzahn

George O. Hammond & wife & others
vs
John P. Hammond & Charles J. Smith & wife & others

No. 5149 Equity

In the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity.

The defendant, Joseph L. Rontzahn, for answer to the Bill filed against him and other defendants in this cause says:

1. That on May 16: 1881 he recovered a Judgment against the John Hammond and Eliza Hammond, mentioned in said Bill, and that Exhibit No. 11 to said Bill correctly describes said Judgment.
2. That no part of said Judgment has ever been paid and the Amount now due is the sum of Fifty four dollars and fifty two cents with interest from May 16th 1881, and One dollar and fifty cents costs.
3. That he hereby consents to the passage of a decree as prayed in said Bill, and asks that his claim may be allowed out of the proceeds of sale so far forth as the same may extend.
4. That he neither admits nor denies further the allegations of the Bill but submits his rights to the Judgment of the Court.

Frank C. Norwood
Atty. for Joseph L. Rontzahn

Filed February 4. 1886.

Answer of Jones & Etzler

George O. Hammond & Emma A. Hammond his wife & others
vs
John P. Hammond & Charles J. Smith & wife & others

No. 5149 Equity

In the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity.

The defendants William D. Jones and Joseph W. Etzler late partners trading as Jones & Etzler, for answer to the Bill filed against them and others say:

1. That on the 9th day of June A.D. 1877 they were partners trading under the firm name of Jones & Etzler and that on said date they recovered a Judgment against the said John P. Hammond and the said Eliza A. Hammond as alleged in said Bill, and that said Judgment is correctly described by the copy thereof filed as "Exhibit No. 6" to said Bill.
2. That no part of said Judgment debt has ever been paid and the amount now due is the sum of twenty nine dollars and forty Eight cents with interest from said 9th day of June A.D. 1877 and One dollar and fifty cents costs.
3. That they hereby consent to the passage of a decree as prayed in said Bill and ask that their claim be allowed out of the proceeds of sale so far forth as the same may extend.
4. That they neither admit nor deny further any of the allegations of the Bill, but submit their rights to the Judgment of the Court.

Frank C. Norwood
Atty. for Jones & Etzler

Filed February 4. 1886.

Agreed of Ida E. Smith et al

Copy to order

Petition of Plaintiff