

No. 5593 Equity
 State of Maryland Frederick County Court
 I hereby certify that on this 27th day of January in the year Eighteen
 hundred and Eighty two before the subscriber a Justice of the Peace
 of the said State, in and for the County aforesaid personally appeared
 John E. Price and Mollie C. Price his wife and did each acknowledge
 the foregoing deed to be their respective act.
 Thomas Turner J.P.

State of Maryland, Frederick County Court
 I hereby certify that the foregoing is true Copy of the Original deed
 as recorded in Liber A. T. No 44 folio 569. one of the Land Records
 of Frederick County.



In testimony whereof I shew to subscribe my name
 and affix the seal of the Circuit Court for Frederick
 County, this 9th day of September A. D. 1889.
 Wm Irving Parsons
 Clerk

Filed Sept. 9, 1889.

A subpoena was thereupon issued to said Defendant and return to
 Sheriff of Frederick County by him returned as follows

Jameson
 Always Obedient
 Sheriff

Filed Sept. 11, 1889.

Answer

The answer of Daniel J. Ordeman Executor of the last will of Catharine
 Ordeman deceased, to the Bill of Complaint of Frederick D. Ordeman,
 May C. Price & John E. Price her husband and others filed against this
 defendant in the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as
 a Court of Equity, in No. 5593 Equity.

This respondent says for Answer

1. That he admits the allegations in the 1st, 2^d, 3^d, 4th & 5th paragraphs
 of said bill of Complaint to be substantially true as therein stated.
2. That he denies that the estate of said Catharine Ordeman contains
 the one half interest in the store property on the corner of Market St
 in Frederick City, or that the same passed to the said Catharine under
 the will of Herman D. Ordeman deceased, as alleged in the 6th paragraph
 of said bill, but on the contrary this respondent avers and charges
 that by the last devising clause in the said will of H. D. Ordeman
 deceased, the said one half interest in said store property was devised
 to Daniel J. Ordeman son of said testator "at the sum of six
 thousand dollars" and he was given five years after the death of
 said Herman D. Ordeman to pay for the same, as will fully appear
 by said will a copy of which is filed as Plaintiff Exhibit "B".
3. This respondent admits that he claims, that, the property mentioned in
 Exhibit C being the half interest in said store property is not the property

Opinion
 Decree of
 Court