

No. 5496 Equity.

yes, how long have you known them?

Ans. Yes, about 13 years.

3<sup>d</sup> Int. Did you know Geo. H. Ambrose in his lifetime, if you living or dead?

Ans. Yes, sir - He is dead - I think he died in 1880 in Sept. He died intestate.

4<sup>th</sup> Int. Did the said Geo. H. Ambrose leave a widow and heirs at law surviving him? If you state their names, ages, residency and their relationship to him and if any are married, to whom, and are they the parties to the suit?

Ans. Yes, sir - He left a widow, whose age is 59. I think, also the following heirs at law. Mary M. Boyer, Sarah Davis, Catharine Pidenour, John C. Ambrose, Laura J. Matthews my wife, Chas. D. Ambrose, Read O. Ambrose, Julia R. Ambrose, Geo. H. Ambrose Jr., Emma M. Ambrose - All are adults except two. Geo. H. Ambrose and Emma M. Ambrose - All reside in Frederick Co. Md. except two. Chas. D. Ambrose and Geo. H. Ambrose, who reside in Pennsylvania. They are all sons and daughters of the deceased. Mary M. is married to Latham H. Boyer, Sarah to Cyrus W. Davis, Catharine to Washington Pidenour, John C. to Amanda Smith, Laura J. to J. A. W. Matthews.

5<sup>th</sup> Int. Did the said Geo. H. Ambrose die seized and possessed of any real estate - if yes, where situated and of what does it consist - and what is its value?

Ans. Yes, he did. it is situated in Hancock Dist. about 1/4 mile from Deerfield station on the N. M. R. R. It consists of 126 acres of land, more or less and improvements - Well, it is worth four thousand dollars.

6<sup>th</sup> Int. Look at Exhibit No. 1. and state whether it correctly describes the property -

Ans. Yes, sir, the description is all right -

7<sup>th</sup> Int. State whether or not said real estate is susceptible of division among said parties without great loss and damage, threats, and whether or not it would be to the interest and advantage of all said parties and especially the infants to have the same sold and the proceeds arising therefrom divided among them, and give your reasons therefor.

Ans. There would be loss and damage to divide it. It would be an advantage to sell the property and divide the proceeds. As it is the property is decreasing in value. I think it would be more just to all parties to have the property sold.

To the Gen. Int.

Ans. I do not.

(Signed)

J. A. W. Matthews

Whereas there being no other witnesses to be examined and no further time being required for the production of evidence, the said Examiner hereby certifies that the foregoing are the original depositions in this cause as the same were read over to the witnesses and signed by them, respectively and thereunto return the same enclosed to the Court. Witness my hand this 19. day of July A. D. 1889.

Clayton O. Keedy, Examiner