

No. 5496 Equity

Laten H. Boyer

Laten H. Boyer, a witness of lawful age on the part of the Plaintiff being duly sworn and examined deposes and says—

1st Int. State your name age and residence.

Ans. Laten H. Boyer 43, Frederick County in Mechanicstown District.

2^d Int. Are you acquainted with the parties to the suit and if yes how long have you known them?

Ans. Yes. 18 years

3^d Int. Did you know Geo. H. Ambrose in his lifetime, if yes being ordered and if dead, when & where did he die and did he die testate or intestate?

Ans. Yes. I knew him in his lifetime. He is dead, he died in Sept. 1880 in Haveres Dist. intestate.

4th Int. Did the said Geo. H. Ambrose leave a widow and heirs at law surviving him? If yes state their names, ages and residences and their relationship to him and if any are married to whom? and are they the parties to the suit?

Ans. Yes, Sir. they are as follows. Mary M. Boyer my wife, Sarah Davis Catherine Ridgway John C. Ambrose, Laura J. Mathews, Chas. D. Ambrose, Rev. Ambrose Julia R. Ambrose, Geo. H. Ambrose Jr, Emma M. Ambrose, all are adults except two Geo. H. Ambrose and Emma M. Ambrose. All reside in Frederick County except two Chas. D. Ambrose and Geo. H. Ambrose who reside in Penn. They are all sons & daughters of the deceased. Mary M. is married to Laten H. Boyer, Sarah to Cyrus N. Davis, Catherine to Washington Ridgway, John C. to Amanda Smith, Laura J. to J. A. W. Mathews.

5th Int. Did the said Geo. H. Ambrose die seized and possessed of any real Estate? If yes, where situated and of what does it consist? and what is its value?

Ans. Yes. he did. It is situated in Haveres Dist. about 1/4 mile from Deerfield Station N. R. R. It consists of 126 acres of land more or less and improvements, in my opinion it is worth four thousand dollars.

6th Int. Look at Exhibit No. 1. and state whether it correctly describes the property.

Ans. Yes, Sir. I think it does

7th Int. State whether or not said real estate is susceptible of division among said parties without great loss & damage thereto. and whether or not it would be to the interest and advantage of all said parties & especially the infants to have the same sold and the proceeds arising therefrom divided among them and give your reasons therefor.

Ans. It can not without loss or damage to the parties it would certainly be of advantage to have the property sold and proceeds divided.

There are various. the chief reason is that the infants are receiving no benefits at all, and the property is depreciating in value yearly under the present management.

To the Court. Int.

Ans. I can not.

(Signed) Laten H. Boyer

J. A. W. Mathews a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the Plaintiff being duly sworn and examined, deposes and says—

1st Int. State your name age and residence?

Ans. J. A. W. Mathews 33, Haveres Dist. Frederick Co. Md.

2^d Int. Are you acquainted with the parties to this suit and if