

No. 5365 Equity

2 Margaret E Feiser wife of Peter Feiser -
 1st The children of Catharine Best deceased Sister Viz - 1st Henry L Best
 and Mary Jane Best his wife 2^d Leo N Best and Catharine Best his wife -
 3^d Mary Best 4. Noah Best - That the Lands and Premises cannot be divided
 without loss and injury to the parties interested, and that it would be to their interest
 and advantage of all parties that the lands and premises be sold. That all
 of the said Plaintiffs and Defendants are of full age and reside in
 Frederick County except Peter Feiser and Margaret E. Feiser his wife -
 Henry L. Best and Mary J. Best his wife and Noah Best, who
 are non-residents of the State of Maryland - That Mary Best One
 of the Defendants is of unsound Mind. It is therefore adjudged and
 ordered that the Plaintiffs by causing a copy of this Order to be inserted
 in some Newspaper published at Frederick - once in each of four consecutive
 Weeks, prior to the first day of February 1888, give Notice to the said
 Absent Defendants of the object and substance of this Bill of Complaint
 and warn them to appear in this Court in person or by Solicitor
 on or before the 2nd day of May 1888. to answer the premises and show
 cause if any they have why a decree ought not to pass as prayed -
 W Irving Parsons -

December 14, 1887.

Clerk of the Circuit Court for Frederick County

A copy of the foregoing Order of Publication is herewith filed Dec. 14, 1887

Henry D. Cramer & wife and others } No. 5365 Equity.

Edward Cramer & Wife and others } In the Circuit Court for Frederick County in Equity.

Answer of Peter Feiser and Margaret E Feiser his wife

The Answer of Peter Feiser Feiser and Margaret E Feiser his Wife
 of the City of York State of Pennsylvania to the Bill of Complaint of
 Henry D. Cramer his wife and others, filed in the Circuit Court for Frederick
 County sitting as a Court of Equity against these respondents and others.
 These Respondents for Answer to said Bill of Complaint - Answer and say -
 1st That they admit to be true - that Noah Cramer formerly a resident of
 Frederick County, State of Maryland, died on the 10th day of December
 1887 seized and possessed of a large and valuable landed Estate situate
 in said County and State, and which said Lands and Premises are
 fully described in the Exhibits filed with said Bill of Complaint, as
 stated and charged in the 1st Paragraph of said Bill of Complaint -
 2^d That these Respondents also admit, that the said Noah Cramer died
 as aforesaid, intestate unmarried and without leaving issue, his wife Catha-
 rine having died some years prior to the death of said Noah - And that
 the said Noah Cramer, the decedent, left surviving him a brother and
 two Sisters, and the children of deceased brother and sister whose
 names and residences, are set forth fully in the 2^d Paragraph of said
 Bill of Complaint -

3. These Respondents further admit - that the lands described in said
 proceedings - descended to the Plaintiffs and Defendants, as here at law
 of said Noah Cramer, dec^d - And that they are now seized of the same
 as Tenants in Common that the said lands cannot be divided without

Answer of Henry D Cramer and Mary J his wife -