

No 5147 Equity

For further Answer this Respondent says that he has laid out, and expended large sums of Money for his said Wife for necessary during her last illness, for Medical Attendance, for a Tomb-Stone, and for a Coffin, and other funeral Expenses, which will particular appear by reference to accounts herewith filed as part of this Answer and marked "Exhibit 4 to Answer" This Respondent charges that the said Mary Wiles his wife, died without leaving any personal Estate and that is entitled to be paid his said claims against her out of her real Estate - This Respondent therefore prays Your Honors that he may be allowed his said claims, against the said Ezra & the said Mary out of the proceeds of the Sale of their said Real Estate & that he may be hence dismissed with his reasonable costs.

Fred. J. Nelson Sol for Respondent

Filed January 6 1886 -

Edward Routzahn and wife } No. 5147 Equity -

In the Circuit Court for Frederick County in Equity.

Answer of Defts except Thomas Wiles

The joint and separate Answer of John Routzahn and Mary Routzahn his wife, Malachi Routzahn and Mary C. Routzahn his wife, Simon D. Routzahn, Lucinda F. Routzahn, Sarah J. Routzahn, Amanda C. Beachley and Daniel J. H. Beachley her husband to the Bill filed in the above cause - These Defendants admit the Matters and Things alleged in said Bill to be true and consent to the passage of a decree as proposed And as in duty bound &c

John H. Routzahn - - - - - Eda E. J. Routzahn - Simon D. Routzahn Lucinda F. Routzahn, Sarah J. Routzahn - Daniel J. H. Beachley - Amanda C. Beachley, Malachi C. Routzahn Mary C. Routzahn

Edward Routzahn and Sarah wife } No. 5147 Equity

In the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting in Equity.

Repetition of various Defts. to Thos. Wiles answer

Thomas Wiles et al } John H. Routzahn, Eda E. J. Routzahn, Simon D. Routzahn, Lucinda F. Routzahn, Sarah J. Routzahn, Daniel J. H. Beachley, Amanda C. Beachley, Malachi C. Routzahn Mary C. Routzahn by way of replication to the Defendant Thomas Wiles answer filed in the above cause says.

1st That it is not True that for a long time to-wit - about fourteen years previous to his death and at the time of his death, the said Ezra Routzahn was non compos Mentis, wholly unable to take care of himself or to attend to any business affairs, as alleged in the Defendants Answer and That it is true that he resided upon the Real Estate described in these proceedings with the respondent, and his said wife, as tenants in common with said wife, being joint owners of said Real Estate - 2nd That it is not true that during all said time the said Thomas Wiles, and his said wife (so long as she lived) took care of said Ezra and Administered to all his wants and necessities as far as account filed as Exhibit No. 1. to said answer and that the said Thomas Wiles at the

claimants to these suits they have the word proceedings
Answer of Defts except Thomas Wiles
Bill
facts
to
long
the
Mentis,
incapable
of Estate
is said
that
lived)
entire as
answer
the
fe, The
ended
House
of paid
Stimaged
Exhibit 2
sums
does
part
charge
untid
as such
say his
claims