

No 5226 Equity

The Report states the amount of sales to be \$7,217.⁵⁰
Dated this 15th day of September, 1886.

W. Erving Parsons
"Citizen" Clerk of the Circuit Court for Frederick County,

State of Maryland, Howard County, Ist.
I hereby certify that Thomas Coddick, Esquire before whom the foregoing affidavit was made, and who has thereto subscribed his name, was, at the time of so doing, a Justice of the Peace of the State of Maryland, in and for Howard County, duly commissioned, and sworn, and authorized by law to administer oaths, and take acknowledgments. I further certify that I am acquainted with the hand writing of the said Justice, and verily believe the signature to be his genuine signature. In Testimony whereof I hereunto set my hand and affix the seal of the Circuit for Howard County, this 14th day of September A.D. 1886.



L. J. Mathias
Clerk

No 5226 Equity

John G. Rogers, Mortgagee of Wm. H. Todd and wife } In the Circuit Court
for Frederick County,

vs } sitting
Petition } as a court of Equity.

To the Honorable the Judges of said Court:
The objections of William H. Todd, and Catherine Todd, his wife, to the report of sales, and to the rules reported in this case, respectfully show:

Objections to
Ratification
of Sales

1. That, these exceptants, the mortgagees named in the proceedings in this cause, object to the ratification of the sale of lots numbered in the advertisement published by the said mortgagee, as lots 1, 5, and 6, because, the said advertisement stated, that the said mortgaged premises would be sold in lots or parcels, as designated, and laid off in said advertisement, whereas, the said mortgagee, after so advertising said property, on the day of sale, to the manifest injury and detriment of the mortgagee, and without their consent, sold the property embraced in said lots so numbered 1, 5, and 6 in one lot, at one bid, made by himself, greatly below the real value of the said property and, greatly less than could have been realized by selling them as advertised.

2. Because the said changes in the manner of selling said lots prevented persons from bidding thereon, who would have bid, and who had given more money had said sale been conducted as advertised.

3. Because the said sale was hurried through, and sufficient time was not allowed to bidders to make bids on said property.

4. Because the said sale of said Lots 1, 5, and 6 was not made upon the time prescribed in the mortgage, as will appear by reference to a copy of said advertisement which Your Objectants may care to file hereafter.