

No. 4411 Equity.

19th Q. Int. With whose money was the purchase money of each piece and parcel of said property paid?

Ans. Each and every parcel of said property was paid for by my wife's father, for her, and since his death, from what money she received from his estate, as I have explained.

20th Q. Int. You state in your examination-in-chief, that you called on Judge Maulsby, at your wife's request, do you not know, that your wife, in person, called on him to consult him, and that he has acted as her counsel exclusively?

Ans. I do know that my wife called on Judge Maulsby in person, and employed him as her counsel.

21st Q. Int. At the time when you saw Judge Maulsby, prior to the execution of the deed, mentioned in the last preceding interrogatory, did you intend, or desire to execute a deed to your wife, or did not Judge Maulsby, after he was informed of all the facts in the matter, inform you, that the property belonged to your wife, in fact, and that it was your duty to convey it to her; and did you not execute the deed, because he insisted that it was your duty to do so?

Ans. I did not at that time, he did inform me so; I did.

22nd Q. Int. Had you execute said deed for the purpose or with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud any creditor whatever?

Ans. I did not.

23rd Q. Int. You said in your examination-in-chief, that you gave your notes to John Cudorff, your wife's father, when he delivered to you, or to your wife, certain monies; state why you gave said notes, and what understanding, if any there was, between your wife's father and yourself, in regard to said notes?

Ans. My father-in-law wanted my notes merely to show how much money he advanced to my wife, and the amount should be charged to her in the settlement of his estate after his death.

24th Q. Int. You have said in your examination-in-chief, that on some occasions when you purchased property for your wife, to be paid for by her father, you gave your notes for the purchase money, or parts thereof; why did you do so?

Ans. I gave my notes merely as a memorandum, to show the amount of money to be paid.

25th Q. Int. In your answer to the 8th Interrogatory-in-chief, you say that the paper marked "Exhibit No. 7," contains a correct description of the lands until the 8th day of August, 1878, possessed by you, and in your own right; was that what you intended to say; explain what you meant?

Ans. It does not; what I intended to say, was, that they belonged to my wife.

Cross examination  
of James  
A. Cudorff.