

No. 11868 Equity

Costs of Aforegoing Commission.

C. O. Keedy, Com. fee	\$ 12.00
Robert Barricks Off. "	1.20
Peter Baer Witness "	.75
John H. Bennett " "	.75
Chas. E. Mealy " "	.75

Returned and
Filed Feb. 12, 1883.

Clayton O. Keedy
Commissioner

Martha E. Wilcoxon in her own
behalf and Tempie E. Wilcoxon
Harry E. Wilcoxon and Mattie B.
Wilcoxon infants &c by said Martha
E. Wilcoxon as their natural Guardian
and Fanchine Ami

No. 11868 Equity

In the Circuit Court for Frederick
County, as a Court of Equity.

Rufus Wilcoxon and Annie Wil-
coxon his wife, Philip S. Hitesher
and Fannie Hitesher his wife and others

To the Honorable the Judges of the
Circuit Court for Frederick County, as a Court of Equity.

Petition of
Fannie A. Hitesher
and others

The Petition of Fannie A. Hitesher and Philip S. Hitesher her hus-
band, Laura Jane Hipon and Charles S. Hipon her husband, Maggie
H. Wray and William H. Wray Jr. her husband, of Frederick County, in be-
half of themselves and Rufus H. Wilcoxon and Ann O. Wilcoxon his wife
Florence M. Burgeon and Charles M. Burgeon her husband, Estelle R.
Correa and John H. Correa her husband of Baltimore City are defendants
in this Cause respectfully represent: That they have signed an answer consent-
ing to a decree for the sale of the Real estate mentioned in the proceedings in this Cause,
that they are heir at Law of the decedent John Wilcoxon by his first wife, and the
Complainant, who was the second wife of the said decedent filed the Bill for herself and
as next friend of her three minor children, that your petitioners represent a larger interest
in the estate than the Complainant and are desirous of securing the appointment
of a Trustee suggested by themselves to act as Co-Trustee with some one to be appointed
at the instance of the Complainant, that they are advised the appointment of
Trustees rests in the discretion of your Honorable Court, and that the Court
in selecting the same, takes into consideration the recommendations of the parties
interested, and the amount of interest they have therein and appoints such
Trustees as in its Judgment it sees ^{fit} under all the circumstances, and they re-
spectfully and earnestly pray the Court to give them a representative
in the trusteeship by appointing one trustee at their solicitation. They further
state that said defendants several days ago before they consulted or employ-
ed a solicitor and without any conversation with him determined their soli-
citor now employed, as a suitable person to be appointed one of the Trustees and
subsequently employed him and signed a paper recommending him as such.