

No 4796 Equity.

3d Int. Were you acquainted with the parents of William M. Hagan, and if yes, state whether they or either of them are living and if not, when they died?

3d Ans. I knew them. Adam and Cordelia Hagan his wife. They are both dead. Adam died in and about the year 1855. Cordelia died some time in the month of January last.

4th Int. State whether the said Adam Hagan, deceased, had other children beside the said William M. Hagan, and if so, whether they or any of them are dead and what is their living if any such, are over the age of twenty-one years, and whether any of them are married. — State all your knowledge herein?

4th Ans. — He had other children besides William M. Hagan. He had 7 sons and 11 daughters in all, but one of his daughters, Mary Ann, died before her father. Those now living are the following

Margaret Leather, the wife of David Leather.

Francis Hagan.

Adam Hagan.

Jane Hammond, the widow of Philip Hammond dead.

William M. Hagan.

Lavinia Trundle, the widow of Henry Trundle, deceased.

And Singleton Hagan.

Those dead are: Charles Hagan, Richard Hagan and the Mary Ann Hagan, mentioned above.

All those living are over 21 years of age and all are married except William M. and Singleton Hagan.

5th Int. State whether said Adam Hagan, deceased, was seized and possessed of any real estate, as the owner thereof, at the time of his death — if yes, where the same is located?

5th Ans. He was so seized and possessed of Real estate, consisting of a farm located in Putnam Dist., Frederick County. The said farm contains two tracts of land.

6th Int. Look at the paper writings, marked Exhibit No. 1 and Exhibit No. 2 and state whether it was the same land mentioned and described in said Exhibits or paper writings?

6th Ans. I look at "Exhibits Nos. 1 and 2" now shown me. It is the same land described in them, that was owned by the said Adam Hagan at the time of his death.

7th Int. State whether said Real estate is susceptible of partition and division among the children of said Adam Hagan and the children of such of them as are deceased, if any, without loss or injury — If not please state your reasons?

7th Ans. It is not susceptible of being so divided, among them for the reasons that the parties interested are too numerous, and such division would cause the land to be cut up into parcels too small to be advantageously sold or cultivated, which would be injuring the property, and detrimental to the parties. Besides there is only one set of buildings on each of the two tracts or parcels.

8th Int. State whether it would be for the interest, benefit and advantage of