No. 4658 Equity

To the Hon. the Judges of the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting in Equity.

In this Audit, I have charged Trustees with amount of Cash reported, I have then allowed Costs, Commissions, and proper expense; I have then allowed claims filed as per Order Sale of Court, and distributed residue among parties entitled thereto.

March 8th, 1882 — Vincent Sebold, Special Auditor

Filed March 8th 1882.

Order Nisi on Auditors Report

William Lipps, and wife
Michael Lone and wife
vs.
John E. Hurley & wife
Daniel Lone, Hiram Lone
and wife, and others

No. 4658 Equity
In the Circuit Court for Frederick County,
sitting in Equity.
February Term, 1882

Ordered this 8th day of March, 1882, that on the 30th day of March, 1882 the Court will proceed to act upon the Special Auditors Report this day filed in the above case, unless cause to the contrary be shown before said day, provided a copy of this order be inserted in some newspaper published in Frederick County, for two successive weeks prior to said day.

Adolphus Kerhake Jr
Clerk of the Circuit Court for Frederick County

Filed March 8, 1882 (Citizen)

Exceptions to ratification of Audit. —

William Lipps & Mahala Lipps his wife, Michael Lone & Catharine A Lone his wife
vs.
John E. Hurley & Mary Ann Hurley, his wife, Daniel Lone and others

No. 4658 Equity in the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity.

February Term, 1882

To the Honorable the Judges of the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity.

The exceptions of William Lipps and Mahala Lipps his wife, Michael Lone and John E. Hurley and Mary Ann Hurley, his wife, heirs at law of Abraham Lone deceased, to the Auditors Report filed in this Cause on the 8th day of March A. D. 1882

These exceptants except to the Audit in this cause filed and for Cause filed why the same should not be ratified, charge

1st. Because in said Audit the claims filed by Thomas B. Lone as Exhibits No. 1, 2 & 3, to the Creditors petition is allowed, to which allowance these exceptants object upon the ground, that said Decedent owed said Thomas B Lone nothing, and upon the further ground that said claims and each of them are barred by the Statute of Limitations upon which these exceptants rely also as a defence to said claims and each of them

2d. Because in said Audit the claims filed by John A. Lone as Exhibits 4 & 5 to the Creditors petition is allowed, to which allowance these exceptants object, upon the ground that said decedent owed said John A Lone nothing, and upon the further ground that said claims and each of them are barred by the Statute of limitations upon which these exceptants rely also as a defense to said claims and each of them.

3rd Because in said Audit the claim filed by Daniel Lone as Exhibit No. 6 to the creditors petition is allowed, to which allowance these exceptants except upon the grounds that the said decedent owed said Daniel Lone nothing, and upon the further ground that said claim is barred by the Statute of limitations upon which these exceptants rely also as a defense to said claim